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This Committee meeting is noticed as a joint meeting with the Board of Directors for the purpose of compliance with the Brown Act. In order to preserve the function of the Committee as advisory to the Board, members of the Board may attend and participate in the discussions, but no Board action will be taken. The Energy Resources & Customer Services Committee will review, discuss and provide the Committee’s recommendation on the agenda items.
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Webinar/Meeting ID: 161 342 0318
Passcode: 882214
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Verbal Public Comment:
Members of the public may provide verbal public comment by:
- Registering in advance of a meeting by sending an email to PublicComment@smud.org, making sure to include the commenter’s name, date of the meeting, and topic or agenda item for comment. Microphones will be enabled for virtual or telephonic attendees at the time public comment is called and when the commenter's name is announced.
- Completing a sign-up form at the table outside of the meeting room and giving it to SMUD Security.
- Using the “Raise Hand” feature in Zoom (or pressing *9 while dialed into the telephone/toll-free number) during the meeting at the time public comment is called. Microphones will be enabled for virtual or telephonic attendees when the commenter’s name is announced.
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Members of the public may provide written public comment on a specific agenda item or on items not on the agenda (general public comment) by submitting comments via email to PublicComment@smud.org or by mailing or bringing physical copies to the meeting.
Comments will not be read into the record but will be provided to the Board and placed into the record of the meeting if received within two hours after the meeting ends.

**DISCUSSION ITEM**

1. Maria Veloso-Koenig  
   Discuss SMUD’s 2023-2025 **Wildfire Mitigation Plan**.  
   Presentation: 10 minutes  
   Discussion: 15 minutes

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS**

2. Public Comment

3. Brandon Rose  
   Summary of Committee Direction.  
   Discussion: 1 minute

Members of the public shall have up to three (3) minutes to provide public comment on items on the agenda or items not on the agenda, but within the jurisdiction of SMUD. The total time allotted to any individual speaker shall not exceed nine (9) minutes.

Members of the public wishing to inspect public documents related to agenda items may click on the Information Packet link for this meeting on the smud.org website or may call 1-916-732-7143 to arrange for inspection of the documents at the SMUD Headquarters Building, 6201 S Street, Sacramento, California.

ADA Accessibility Procedures: Upon request, SMUD will generally provide appropriate aids and services leading to effective communication for qualified persons with disabilities so that they can participate equally in this meeting. If you need a reasonable auxiliary aid or service for effective communication to participate, please email Toni.Stelling@smud.org, or contact by phone at 1-916-732-7143, no later than 48 hours before this meeting.
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**FROM (IPR):**

Maria Veloso Koenig

**DEPARTMENT:** Distribution Planning & Operations

**MAIL STOP:** EA403

**EXT:** 5794

**DATE SENT:** 05/19/2023

**NARRATIVE:**

**Requested Action:** Adopt SMUD’s 2023-2025 Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

**Summary:** Senate Bill 901 (2018) and Assembly Bill 1054 (2019) revised Public Utilities Code section 8387 to require that before January 1, 2020, and annually thereafter, every publicly owned electric utility prepare a wildfire mitigation plan (WMP), present it in a noticed public meeting, and accept comments. Section 8387 also requires that the utility contract with a qualified independent evaluator experienced in assessing the safe operation of electrical infrastructure to review and assess the comprehensiveness of the utility’s WMP. The evaluator shall issue a report and present the report at a public meeting. On or before July 1 of each year publicly owned electric utilities must submit their approved WMPs to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board (WSAB) for review, comment and advisory opinion. At least once every three years, the submission shall be a comprehensive revision of the plan.

By Resolution No. 19-10-09, the Board adopted SMUD’s initial Wildfire Mitigation Plan and authorized the Chief Executive Officer and General Manager to make future changes to the WMP that further the primary purpose of the WMP and provide a net benefit to SMUD. By Resolution Nos. 20-11-04, 21-06-02, and 22-05-05 in each year following, the Board adopted SMUD’s annual WMP update. The WSAB issued an advisory opinion for the 2023 Wildfire Mitigation Plans of Electric Publicly Owned Utilities and Rural Electric Cooperatives, commending SMUD for an “exemplary description of comprehensive wildfire mitigation strategies in their 2022 WMP.”

In accordance with Section 8387, SMUD staff has completed its triennial comprehensive review and update of SMUD’s WMP. The 2023-2025 WMP provides an update on SMUD’s wildfire prevention and mitigation metrics and strategies. The draft 2023-2025 WMP was offered for public comment and assessed by a qualified independent evaluator, Guidehouse. The 2023-2025 WMP and Guidehouse report will be presented to the Board at the duly noticed ERCS Committee meeting on June 14, 2023.

**Board Policy:**

SD-4, Reliability; SD-6, Safety Leadership; SD-15, Outreach and Communication; SD-17, Enterprise Risk Management

**Benefits:** The WMP Update is in alignment with Strategic Direction SD-4, Reliability, that SMUD will maintain the electric system in good repair, and SD-6, that SMUD will implement measures to protect the public from injuries related to SMUD operations or facilities. Additionally, this item is consistent with Strategic Direction SD-15, Outreach and Communication, that SMUD will ensure all groups are aware of SMUD’s major decisions and programs. This item is consistent with SD-17, Enterprise Risk Management, in maintaining an integrated enterprise risk management process.

**Cost/Budgeted:** The programs outlined in the WMP are budgeted in separate processes by the sponsoring departments.

**Alternatives:** California law requires the WMP and evaluator’s report to be presented to the Board in a noticed public meeting.


### Additional Links:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>2023-2025 Wildfire Mitigation Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ITEMS SUBMITTED AFTER DEADLINE WILL BE POSTPONED UNTIL NEXT MEETING.**
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1 Executive Summary

SMUD’s Wildfire Mitigation Plan continues to build on our success implementing best practices and lessons learned from prior revisions and reflects the continued progress we made on risk reduction projects and programs.

SMUD’s service area is outside of CPUC’s High Fire Threat District’s (HFTD) Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas. SMUD does own and operate transmission lines and hydro power plants located in Eldorado County, which is within HFTD Tier 2 and Tier 3. The bulk of SMUD’s efforts are focused on reducing fuel around our facilities and the potential of ignitions to reduce ignition risk.

One project that was completed in 2022 designed to reduce ignition risk was the undergrounding of three miles of 4kV distribution lines in Tiers 2 and 3 that ran between hydro facilities. Several overhead spans remain, one of which was upgraded to tree-wire, and remaining spans underbuilt on 69kV structures over rocky terrain. Undergrounding the lines resulted in elimination of ignition risk from vegetation, animals and other objects contacting these lines.

Climate change has resulted in California experiencing some of the most severe drought conditions in the past few years. The lack of rain and snow enabled SMUD to achieve better than projected progress in the UARP fuels reduction project. Contracted tree crews covered significant ground in removing trees, shrubs, and thinning operations. The project aims to remove fuels below, and up to 200 feet from either side of the transmission lines in the UARP. This progress will allow SMUD to complete the project well ahead of schedule.

SMUD continues to search out new technologies aimed at reducing ignition risk. One example is the use of drone aerial photography that provided better than expected results. High-resolution aerial photography with desktop inspections helped identify many equipment issues which would not normally have been caught during normal visual inspections. A total of 465 transmission structures were photographed and inspected with this new technology. Repairs were promptly scheduled and performed as needed. This project reduced the risk of conductor, hardware and structure failure which could possibly result in ignition. Another new technology we leveraged is x-ray of conductor splices. The x-ray technology allowed SMUD to reinforce splices that showed internal corrosion, which would result in potential splice failure resulting in conductors falling to the ground. A total of seven splices were reinforced.

Although SMUD service territory is primarily in the CPUC Tier 1, SMUD has been installing Cal FIRE exempt arrestors, connectors, and fuses in the Pole Clearing Area (PCA). The project was extended due to impacts of COVID-19 and is on track for completion by 2025. SMUD was able to offset the costs of the project’s final three years due to a FEMA grant, which is a big win for SMUD customers.

COVID-19 brought unanticipated challenges to mitigation efforts. Supply chain and contractor availability disruptions impacted every utility, including SMUD. Material delivery lead times increased dramatically for many items, resulting in delays to project completion. SMUD staff worked directly with vendors and suppliers to obtain materials and better handle lead times and priority deliveries. Progress was delayed but continues on revised schedules.

As part of the comprehensive review, SMUD staff performed a risk analysis using the bow-tie approach. The risk analysis included discussions of risk reductions due to project completions in the UARP and PCA. Impacts of climate change, drought and summer heat storms were also discussed.

The various programs and projects described in this WMP provide a comprehensive and innovative approach to SMUD’s wildfire risk reduction.
2 Introduction

Over the last several years California has seen some of its most devastating and destructive wildfires. Climate change impacts, including warmer days and nights, longer heat events, drought, and changes in precipitation patterns, are recognized to be large contributing factors. The expansion of the wildland-urban interface, historical development patterns and land management practices are factors as well. These realities require utilities to develop a new way of thinking about wildfire mitigation planning.

In response, Senate Bill (SB) 901 authored by Senator Dodd, enacted in 2018. SB 901 requires all electric utilities to prepare a wildfire mitigation plan (WMP).

SB 901 amended Public Utilities Code (PUC) section 8387. Assembly Bill (AB) 1054 (Holden, 2019) further amended this statute. Section 8387 generally requires every publicly owned utility to construct, maintain and operate its electrical facilities to minimize the risk of wildfire posed by those facilities. As amended by SB 901 and AB 1054, section 8387 more specifically requires every publicly owned utility to prepare a WMP and update it annually, with a comprehensive revision of the WMP no less than every three years.

WMPs must include vegetation management (VM) programs, inspection and maintenance programs, protocols for deactivating automatic reclosers and for de-energizing power lines during severe weather conditions in high fire threat areas. The plan is required to identify priority customers, such as first responders and local agencies, health care providers, water and telecommunication facilities, groups that assist children, elderly, mobility impaired and other vulnerable populations and include communication programs for those customers. The plans need to describe how service will be restored after a wildfire and include processes for (i) measuring the performance of the plan, (ii) identifying and correcting any deficiencies in the plan and (iii) auditing implementation of the plan.

The Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) Board of Directors adopted the initial WMP on October 17, 2019. Updates were adopted annually. This is SMUD’s comprehensive triennial update.

2.1 Utility overview and context

Table 1 provides summary information to highlight SMUD’s unique characteristics impacting its low wildfire risk. This information changes minimally each year and is refreshed, at a minimum, with SMUD’s triennial comprehensive WMP review.
## Table 1 Context Setting Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility Name</th>
<th>SMUD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Territory Size</td>
<td>900 square miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned Assets</td>
<td>X Transmission, X Distribution, X Generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Customers Served</td>
<td>Approximately 648,000 customer accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Within Service Territory</td>
<td>Approximately 1.5 million people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Class Makeup</td>
<td>Number of Accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Territory Location/Topography</td>
<td>25.8% Agriculture; 0.1% Barren/Other; 0% Conifer Forest; 0% Conifer Woodland; 0% Desert; 0.3% Hardwood Forest; 3.9% Hardwood Woodland; 29.5% Herbaceous; 0.1% Shrub; 37.9% Urban; 2.3% Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Territory Wildland Urban Interface (based on total area)</td>
<td>6.3% Wildland Urban Interface; 9.1% Wildland Urban Intermix;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Service Territory in CPUC High Fire Threat Districts (based on total area)</td>
<td>☐ Includes maps; Tier 2: 0%; Tier 3: 0%; SMUD operates its Upper American River Project outside its territory within the High Fire Threat District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevailing Wind Directions &amp; Speeds by Season</td>
<td>☐ Includes maps; CalFire provides the following description in its 2021 Strategic Fire Plan Amador-El Dorado Unit (AEU): “Fire weather for AEU is typically dominated by three general weather phenomena; the delta push influence, north wind events, and east foehn winds caused by high pressure development in the Great Basin. All three weather conditions cause potential increases in fire intensity and size. The delta influence is the most common and surfaces frequently throughout summer. Typically, high pressure systems will dominate Northern California in the summer months bringing extremely hot and dry conditions over much of the region. As these systems develop, they will tend to yield near the Delta and Sacramento areas bringing the marine influence to the Unit. This is generally considered a good thing for fire behavior; slightly cooler afternoon temperatures and increases in relative humidity. The downside is the strong winds that typically accompany these patterns can override any benefit that</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 This data is based on the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, California Multi-Source Vegetation Layer Map, depicting WHR13 Types (Wildlife Habitat Relationship classes grouped into 13 major land cover types) available at: https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=b7ec5d68d8114b1fb2bfbf4665989eb3.  
2 This data is based on the definitions and maps maintained by the United States Department of Agriculture, as most recently assembled in The 2010 Wildland-Urban Interface of the Conterminous United States, available at https://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/pubs/rmap/rmap_nrs8.pdf.
## Utility Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMUD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Miles of Owned Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underground and/or Overhead</th>
<th>Overhead Dist: 3,868 miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overhead Trans: 469 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underground Dist: 6,819.5 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underground Trans: 17.3 miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanatory Note 2 – Description of Unique Ownership Circumstances:**

None

---

### Percent of Owned Lines in CPUC High Fire Threat Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overhead Distribution Lines as % of Total Distribution System (Inside and Outside Service Territory)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overhead Transmission Lines as % of Total Transmission System (Inside and Outside Service Territory)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Customers have ever lost service due to an IOU PSPS event?

☐ Yes  X No

### Customers have ever been notified of a potential loss of service due to a forecasted IOU PSPS event?

X Yes  ☐ No

### Has developed protocols to pre emptively shut off electricity in response to elevated wildfire risks?

☐ Yes  X No

### Has previously pre emptively shut off electricity in response to elevated wildfire risk?

X Yes  ☐ No

**Note:** Data reflects year-end 2022

---

WMP 2023 - 2025 | Final
5/31/2023

smud.org/WildfireSafety | 4
2.1.1 SMUD profile
Headquartered in Sacramento, California, SMUD owns and operates an electric system that has provided retail electric service since 1946. SMUD generates, transmits, and distributes electricity within a 900-square-mile territory that includes the principal parts of Sacramento County, and a small adjoining portion of Placer County (see Figure 1).

Figure 1 Map of SMUD’s service area

As a publicly owned utility, SMUD is governed by a seven-member popularly elected Board of Directors that determines policy and appoints the Chief Executive Officer and General Manager who is responsible for SMUD’s overall management and operations. Today, SMUD’s power supply is on average about 50 percent carbon free and SMUD has a goal to reach zero carbon in its electricity production by 2030. SMUD is one of the largest community-owned electric utilities in the nation, recognized internationally for its innovative energy efficiency programs and use of renewable power technologies.

SMUD owns, operates, and has ownership interests that are critical to maintaining the flow of power from generating facilities through the transmission lines to SMUD’s service area. These assets are in the geographic areas of Sacramento, El Dorado, Solano, and Placer Counties.

2.1.2 The service area
SMUD is the primary electric distribution service provider within an area of approximately 900 square miles in central California, serving a population of approximately 1.5 million. The service area includes Sacramento, the State Capital, and the populous areas principally to the northeast and south of the City of Sacramento and the agricultural areas to the north and south.

2.1.3 The distribution and transmission system, Sacramento County
SMUD owns and operates a vertically integrated electric system that includes generation, transmission, and distribution facilities.
SMUD supplies power to its bulk power substations through 230 kilovolt (kV) and 115 kV transmission systems. This system transmits power from SMUD’s generation plants and interconnects with Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) and the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA). Power is distributed throughout Sacramento County via a 69 kV sub-transmission system except for the city of Sacramento downtown area, which is served from the 115 kV transmission systems. The downtown area is served from 115/12 kV and 115/21 kV substations. The distribution system serving the remainder of SMUD’s service territory is comprised of 69/12 kV and 69 kV substations with overhead and underground distribution circuits.


### 2.1.4 The hydroelectric system in the UARP, Eldorado County

SMUD owns and operates ten hydroelectric powerhouses rated at approximately 700MW in the Western Sierra Nevada Mountain region. The hydroelectric system spans approximately 50 miles with the highest elevation reservoir at Loon Lake and descends the mountain range terminating at Chili Bar reservoir. Eight hydroelectric powerhouses are interconnected with dedicated 69kV and 230kV transmission lines. The most recent additions are two small hydroelectric powerhouses, which interconnect directly into PG&E’s 12kV and 21kV local distribution systems. Approximately twenty linear miles of overhead 230kV transmission lines connect the hydroelectric system to SMUD’s service area near Folsom.

The hydroelectric powerhouses are fed from the various man-made reservoirs in the area. A small dedicated 4kV distribution system serves various valve and gate control houses for the reservoirs, a ranger station, a lift chalet, and a communication station. The 4kV distribution system is approximately five circuit miles in length. The 4kV system is not interconnected with PG&E’s distribution system that serves the area.

### 2.1.5 The wind power collector system in the Delta, Solano County

SMUD owns and operates a 358MW wind project, located in Solano County, known as The Solano Wind Project. The project consists of 162 wind turbine generators (WTG) spanning five miles southwest of Rio Vista. Energy from the project is collected at 21kV and 35kV and transmitted over a dedicated overhead and underground system to Russell substation. At Russell substation, the energy is stepped up to 230 kV and interconnected to PG&E’s Birds Landing Switching Station.

### 2.2 Policy statement

SMUD’s overarching goal is to provide safe, reliable, environmentally sustainable, and affordable electric service to its local community. To meet this goal, SMUD constructs, maintains, and operates its electric system in a manner that minimizes any risk of catastrophic wildfire posed by its electrical lines and equipment.

### 2.3 Purpose

This WMP describes the range of activities that SMUD is taking to mitigate and reduce the threat of powerline-ignited wildfires, including its various programs, policies, and procedures. This plan is subject to direct supervision by SMUD’s Board of Directors and primary responsibility for its implementation resides with the Chief Operating Officer (COO).

This plan meets or exceeds the requirements of PUC section 8387 for publicly owned electric utilities to prepare a WMP. Table 2 references relevant sections in this document that address each PUC requirement.
### Table 2 Plan compliance with Public Utilities Code 8387(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUC 8387 Requirement</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Plan Section Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (A)</td>
<td>An accounting of the responsibilities of persons responsible for executing the plan.</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (B)</td>
<td>The objectives of the wildfire mitigation plan.</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (C)</td>
<td>A description of the preventive strategies and programs to be adopted by the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative to minimize the risk of its electrical lines and equipment causing catastrophic wildfires, including consideration of dynamic climate change risks.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (D)</td>
<td>A description of the metrics the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative plans to use to evaluate the wildfire mitigation plan’s performance and the assumptions that underlie the use of those metrics.</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (E)</td>
<td>A discussion of how the application of previously identified metrics to previous wildfire mitigation plan performances has informed the wildfire mitigation plan.</td>
<td>9.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (F)</td>
<td>Protocols for disabling reclosers and deenergizing portions of the electrical distribution system that consider the associated impacts on public safety, as well as protocols related to mitigating the public safety impacts of those protocols, including impacts on critical first responders and on health and communication infrastructure.</td>
<td>6.1.1, 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (G)</td>
<td>Appropriate and feasible procedures for notifying a customer who may be impacted by the deenergizing of electrical lines. The procedures shall consider the need to notify, as a priority, critical first responders, health care facilities and operators of telecommunications infrastructure. The procedures shall direct notification to all public safety offices, critical first responders, health care facilities, and operators of telecommunications infrastructure with premises within the footprint of potential deenergization for a given event.</td>
<td>7.1, 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (H)</td>
<td>Plans for vegetation management.</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (I)</td>
<td>Plans for inspections of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s electrical infrastructure.</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (J)</td>
<td>A list that identifies, describes, and prioritizes all wildfire risks, and drivers for those risks, throughout the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory. The list shall include, but not be limited to both of the following:</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (J) (i)</td>
<td>Risks and risk drivers associated with design, construction, operation and maintenance of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s equipment and facilities.</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (J) (ii)</td>
<td>Particular risks and risk drivers associated with topographic and climatological risk factors throughout the different parts of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory.</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (K)</td>
<td>Identification of any geographic area in the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory that is a higher wildfire threat than is identified in a commission fire threat map, and identification of where the commission should expand a high fire threat district based on new information or changes to the environment.</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (2) (L)</td>
<td>A methodology for identifying and presenting enterprise-wide safety risk and wildfire-related risk.</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Objectives

The primary objectives of this WMP are to:

1. Minimize the probability that SMUD’s transmission and distribution (T&D) system may be the origin or contributing source for the ignition of a wildfire;
2. Implement a wildfire mitigation plan that embraces safety, prevention, mitigation, and recovery as a central priority for SMUD; and
3. Create a WMP that is consistent with state law and objectives.

SMUD has evaluated prudent and cost-effective improvements to its physical assets, operations and training that will help meet these objectives.

The secondary objective of this WMP is to improve the resiliency of SMUD’s line construction standards and practices. As part of developing this plan, SMUD assesses new industry practices and technologies that will reduce the likelihood of an interruption in service and improve restoration time in the event of a service interruption. In addition, SMUD reviews available fire investigation reports for fires throughout California to understand root causes that can be addressed.

This WMP outlines the actions SMUD is taking, including exploring new technologies, to reduce the risk of potential wildfire-causing ignitions associated with SMUD’s electrical infrastructure, with the objective of enhancing public safety and improving grid reliability.
This WMP also outlines customer outreach and communication programs for customers that may be impacted in the unlikely event of a wildfire related de-energization. SMUD's long-standing and continued cooperation with local agencies are also outlined.

This WMP provides methodologies to measure the effectiveness of specific wildfire mitigation strategies and how those strategies measurably reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire. Where a particular action, program component or protocol is determined to be unnecessary or ineffective, SMUD will assess whether a modification or replacement is merited. This plan will also help determine if more cost-effective measures would produce the same or improved results.

2.5 Accountability of the plan
SMUD’s Chief Operating Officer has overall responsibility for the WMP. The Chief Operating Officer and Chief Customer Officer are responsible for executing the various components of the WMP.

2.5.1 SMUD operating unit responsibility specific to each component of the plan
Table 3 lists the Director with responsibility for the departments or workgroups that are accountable for the various components of SMUD’s WMP. In each case the Director or the Director’s designees will be responsible for the accuracy of, and for operations in accordance with, the specified component of the plan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation Activities</th>
<th>Responsible Department and Workgroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk analysis</td>
<td>Director, Treasury Operations &amp; Risk Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire threat assessment in service area and UARP</td>
<td>Director, Distribution Planning &amp; Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire prevention strategy and programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Disable automatic reclosing</td>
<td>Director, Transmission Planning &amp; Operations, Director, Distribution Planning &amp; Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Planned de-energizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director, Line Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substation visual inspections</td>
<td>Director, Substation, Telecom &amp; Metering Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vegetation management</td>
<td>Director, Line Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pole clearing program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire mitigation construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Natural Ester-based fluid</td>
<td>Director, Distribution Planning &amp; Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cal FIRE exempt equipment in PCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Weather stations</td>
<td>Director, Transmission Planning &amp; Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Light Detection and Ranging and Ortho Imagery</td>
<td>Director, Line Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency preparedness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SMUD Emergency Operations Centers</td>
<td>Director, Facilities, Security &amp; IPPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Public and agency communications for wildfires</td>
<td>Director, Customer Operations &amp; Community Energy Services, Director, Customer Experience Delivery, Director, Corporate Communications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6 WMP Adoption and submittal

In 2019, SMUD conducted extensive stakeholder outreach during its preparation of the initial WMP. SMUD personnel met with local fire agencies and fire safe councils, Office of Emergency Services, and healthcare organizations. In addition, SMUD invited federal, state, and local agencies, representatives of utilities, telecommunication providers, and critical care customers to attend stakeholder outreach meetings where information regarding the preparation and contents of the WMP were provided.

From 2019 and annually thereafter, SMUD has and will continue to communicate with its community partners and stakeholders regarding its WMP reviews and updates. SMUD posts a public review draft of changes to the WMP on SMUD’s website, smud.org/WildfireSafety. Notice of the public review draft is provided to the above stakeholders and published in local newspapers, including the Sacramento Bee and Mountain Democrat, on social media, and through electronic newsletter.

2.6.1 Public comment

A public review draft of changes to the WMP is posted on smud.org/WildfireSafety and made available to the public for comment for a period of at least 30 days after notification in local publications and social media. The public is provided instructions in how to submit comments on the posted web page.

Interested parties are also invited to comment on the plan at the time it is presented to SMUD’s Board of Directors.

2.6.2 Board presentation

SMUD Board and Board Committee meetings are open and accessible to the public. Meeting notices and agendas are posted least 72 hours in advance at the SMUD office and on SMUD’s website. Those who are unable to attend the meeting in-person can attend via zoom, livestream the meeting, or view a recording on SMUD’s website. SMUD offers the opportunity for persons interested in wildfire related matters to sign up to receive notifications any time wildfire is being discussed at an upcoming Board or committee meeting at smud.org/WildfireSafety

Updates to the WMP are presented to and adopted by the Board at a noticed public meeting annually.

2.6.3 Independent evaluation

Although PUC 8387 does not require SMUD to retain a qualified independent evaluator (QIE) on an ongoing basis, we do as part of the comprehensive review process, and we find value in a review when substantial revisions to the WMP are made. In 2019 SMUD issued a public solicitation request, consistent with SMUD’s procurement practices, to identify an experienced QIE to assess the comprehensiveness of SMUD’s WMP. SMUD contracted with a QIE with experience in assessing the safe operation of electrical infrastructure. SMUD repeated this process in 2020 and again as part of its 2023 triennial comprehensive review and update process. SMUD also retained a QIE to assess its 2022 WMP.

Each QIE report was presented to SMUD’s Board of Directors at a noticed public meeting and posted to SMUD’s website along with the WMP. The reports concluded SMUD’s WMP is comprehensive and meets statutory requirements as well as industry standard.

2.6.4 Wildfire Safety Advisory Board

Each year SMUD submits its WMP to the Wildfire Safety Advisory Board (WSAB). The WSAB reviews and provides comments and advisory opinion regarding the content and sufficiency of the WMP. SMUD will consider comments and opinions received by the WSAB in future documents. These advisory opinions can be found on their web page:

2.6.5 **Budgeting WMP initiatives**

SMUD adopts its budget through open and public processes. WMP program commitments reflected in any given budget are impacted by many factors, including risk evaluations, system condition and requirements, emergency occurrences, economy, legislation, environment, and liability exposure. These commitments are consistently under evaluation, and program priorities can change if any of these factors shift.
3 Overview of preventive strategies and programs

This WMP addresses the preventive strategies and programs adopted by SMUD to minimize the risk of its electrical lines and equipment causing a catastrophic wildfire. The strategies and programs included in the WMP are evolving and are subject to change. As new technologies, practices and networks develop, and other environmental influences or risks are identified, changes to address them may be incorporated into future iterations of the WMP which is, in effect, a living document.

This WMP integrates and interfaces with various operating policies and asset management and engineering principles which are subject to change. As appropriate, the current versions of these documents are incorporated either as appendices to this WMP or by reference.

The following is a summary of SMUD’s programs and activities that support wildfire prevention and mitigation.

Table 4 Mitigation programs/activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design and construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ester-based insulating fluid in transformers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal FIRE exempt equipment in Pole Clearing Area (PCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) Ortho, Oblique Imagery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase overhead wire spacing to reduce wire to wire contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole loading and placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line rating remediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole replacement and reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire resiliency design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction fire prevention program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substation perimeter fencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCADA reclosers in PCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection and maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line aerial patrols (helicopter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line ground patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line infrared (IR) inspections (helicopter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line splice and dead-end termination assessment program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission and distribution wood pole intrusive inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission and distribution vegetation right-of-way maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission and distribution annual subject pole clearing program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution detailed line inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution line patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 kV and Pole Clearing Area 12 kV IR inspections (helicopter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual inspections of distribution substations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiDAR/Remote Sensing inspection of transmission for Vegetation Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection and maintenance programs for T&amp;D lines and substations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR inspection of energized overhead T&amp;D facilities and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive by of overhead distribution facilities and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed inspection of T&amp;D facilities and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental inspections of high fire risk areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-ground routine inspection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disabling reclosing during fire season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission and distribution system vegetation management maintenance patrols and work (tree pruning &amp; removal) as needed for safety and reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special work procedure for red flag warning (RFW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| De-energization protocols and notifications |
| Emergency Operations Planning: fire prevention plan |
| Hotwork procedures |
| Work procedures and training for persons working in locations and conditions of elevated fire risks |
| Safety and physical security protection teams |
| Increased staff for line and vegetation management crews in preparation of storm |
| Existing relationship with local government and fire safe councils |
| Transmission encroachment program |
| Provide liaison to county office of emergency services’ (OES) during fire event |
| Leverage existing relationship with local government and fire departments |
| Targeted communications plan |
| Active environmental safety monitoring |
| SMUD’s Emergency Operations Center partners with local emergency responders for coordination prior to and during an emergency |
| High fire threat district vegetation management inspection strategy (annual) |
| Inspecting trees with potential strike path to power lines |
| Expanded subject pole clearing |
| Expanded clearance distances at time of maintenance (Tier 2 & 3 in HFTD & PCA) |
| Patrol and pruning/Tree removal, Quality Control |
| Increased vegetation clearance at time of tree work |

**Situational/conditional awareness**

| Weather monitoring stations in targeted areas in the UARP |
| Coordinate and collaborate with Fire Safe Councils and County Office of Emergency Services throughout the year to prepare for RFW and high fire risk events |
| Contractor safety training and orientation for transmission and distribution vegetation management work |
| Monitor daily California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection website and active fires in California |

**Response and recovery**

| Critical event communications process and procedures |
| Emergency response plan |
| Field operations recovery procedures |
| Coordinate with neighboring entities |
4 Risk analysis and risk drivers

SMUD uses its existing ERM framework to identify and assess enterprise level risks. SMUD’s ERM framework takes into consideration both quantitative and qualitative factors to determine the level of inherent and residual risk levels for a particular risk. An inherent risk level refers to the risk before any mitigations or controls are in place while the residual risk level refers to the risk after all mitigations and effective controls are considered.

4.1 Enterprise risk assessment

The ERM framework has a strong governance structure stemming from SMUD’s Board of Director’s Strategic Direction and is overseen by an executive body, the Enterprise Risk Oversight Committee (EROC). The framework requires that all enterprise risks be owned by an Executive and managed at the Director level.

The ERM framework includes a 5-step process and is integrated with SMUD’s internal audit process to check for assurance of proper control implementation. The framework requires continuous communications and consultation throughout the life of the risk. The 5-step ERM process is shown in Figure 2 which describes the objective of each step.

Figure 2 ERM 5-step process

| Identify | • Find, recognize and describe risks  
| Identify all hazards, threats and opportunities |
| Analyze | • Comprehend the nature of risk and determine the level of risk  
| | • Bow-tie analysis |
| Plan & Evaluate | • Compare results of risk analysis with criterias  
| | • Prioritize risks |
| Respond | • Modify risk by developing control plans  
| | • Implement control plans |
| Monitor & Review | • Continue to monitor risks and controls  
| | • Review and improve ERM framework  
| | • Risk reporting |

During a risk evaluation, the Director, manager, stakeholders, and subject matter experts (SMEs) are consulted. ERM staff gathers pertinent information to conduct the evaluation which includes a root cause analysis. Information gathered includes key risk drivers, key risk impacts, mitigations, processes, procedures, controls, and internal/external risk trend. SMUD uses a commonly accepted framework called the bow-tie method for its root-cause analysis. This method allows easy visualization of the relationship between the risk event, its drivers, and impacts, as well as preventive and mitigation activities. In addition, the method allows for a structured risk analysis where quantification is not possible or desired. SMUD’s ERM framework takes into consideration impacts to SMUD’s finances, legal, regulatory and compliance, operations, reputation, public safety, workforce, and environment.
4.2 Enterprise safety and wildfire risk

Following SMUD’s ERM assessment process, Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) were consulted in conducting a risk assessment for wildfires which could potentially involve SMUD equipment. The SMEs focused on potential causes of powerline sparks that could start a fire. The risk assessment was conducted to identify SMUD’s vulnerabilities, exposure to and impacts from a wildfire as well as to identify current controls and mitigations to prevent wildfire occurrence, likelihood, velocity, and impact.

Figure 3 provides the risk bow tie, which summarizes the assessment process.

**Figure 3 SMUD’s wildfire risk bow tie. Drivers and impacts are indicators that a risk event could occur, not a reflection of actual or threatened conditions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Risk Drivers</th>
<th>Risk Event</th>
<th>Key Risk Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact from object</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Serious injury/fatality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mylar balloons</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation/Fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td>Legal/Regulatory Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure/property damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equipment/Facility Failure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Government Agency relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor Bank</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental and ecological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reputational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossarm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Customer and community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splice/Clamp/Connector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wire to wire contact/contamination</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party acts/vandalism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acts of SMUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildfires involving SMUD equipment
4.2.1 Fire risk drivers

Powerline equipment is generally the same across all utilities where a small niche of manufacturers and suppliers are used to procure equipment for construction of facilities. Slight variances in design and construction may be expected between utilities. SMUD staff evaluated other utilities’ fire causes and applied its own field experience to determine the potential risk drivers. Four categories were identified as potential for causing powerline sparks and ignitions:

- Contact from objects
- Equipment/facility failure
- Wire-to-wire contact/contamination
- Other

SMUD staff identified the following drivers associated with each category. These are discussed below but may not be limited to the following.

4.2.1.1 Contact from objects

Most overhead powerlines throughout the world are installed as bare wire on top of insulated poles and structures. Overhead powerlines are kept at a certain distance from the ground and from adjacent objects, based on the voltage level and applicable design criteria, to prevent contact and faults. However, with thousands of miles of overhead powerlines, contacts from objects are anticipated by utilities and can occur throughout the year.

Animals and highly conductive mylar balloons are some of the objects that can contact powerlines, resulting in possible sparks and arcs. While protection equipment such as circuit breakers, reclosers and fuses are installed to isolate the faults, there are time delays (within fractions of a second or seconds) associated with when the equipment senses the fault and proceeds to isolate (or “trip”) the faulted section. The time delays are instant to the human, but not quite fast enough to prevent all sparks prior to tripping. Emitted sparks, molten metal or burnt foreign objects can fall on -- and potentially ignite -- any fuels underneath or near the powerline.

Vegetation such as trees, branches, palm fronds, etc., from inside and outside of powerline pathways can contact powerlines at any time, also resulting in possible sparks or arcs. Sometimes, the stress of contact is large enough to cause a connector or pole to fail, which will lead to wires falling and touching the ground. In some instances, the tree or branch may continue leaning on the powerline and continue sparking or catch on fire due to resulting sparks.

Additionally, vehicles contacting poles or supporting guy wires can damage or break the pole. The heavy, broken pole in turn can put too much stress on connectors or crossarms and cause wires to break and fall to the ground potentially emitting sparks and arcs.

4.2.1.2 Equipment failure

All man-made equipment fails at some point or another during its life. Failure modes can be discrete (internal) or destructive (materials ejected). Failure components such as hot line clamps, connectors and insulators can result in wire failure and cause the wire to fall to the ground. The energized conductors can emit sparks prior to breaker or fuse tripping/isolating. Transformers and capacitor banks can have internal shorts that can potentially be destructive and eject materials which could create a spark, leading to a fire.

4.2.1.3 Wire-to-wire contact/contamination

When two or more energized conductors contact each other, they will cause sparks and possible material to be ejected. There are many factors that could lead to such an occurrence. Any type of shaking of the pole or high winds may cause the powerlines to sway and touch. A shaking pole can be caused by vehicle contact or...
livestock rubbing against a pole or supporting guy wires. Certain types of faults (shorts) down the line can cause powerlines to gallop (bounce and buck).

Contamination on insulators can create a path for electricity to flow. This unintended path can track and cause a fault. Typical causes are ash, dust, debris, and bird excrement on the insulator. These causes can usually be determined by burn marks along the insulator.

4.2.1.4 Other
SMUD’s powerlines traverse through many parts of its service territory, including residential properties, along road rights-of-way (ROW), within business parking lots, etc.

Non-SMUD equipment and construction projects could be a possible cause of ignition. Even though property owners and contractors take precautions, their equipment can contact powerlines and cause sparking triggering fires in the vicinity. Although unintentional, these contacts may cause damage to powerlines, poles and supporting equipment which may cause sparks and trigger fires in the vicinity.

SMUD equipment can also be vandalized and damaged, which may cause sparks and fires.

SMUD takes pride in a properly trained and well-informed workforce. Crews perform switching, construction, and maintenance on facilities daily. However, the tools and vehicles they use can be sources of sparks or ignition. For example, driving a truck over dry grass/brush can cause the dry grass/brush to ignite. As such, SMUD trucks are equipped with fire suppression equipment and staff are properly trained to respond to an ignition and the use of the fire suppression equipment.

During Red Flag Warning (RFW) periods in the UARP, crews working in remote sites limit hotwork (such as welding, grinding, cutting etc.) to prevent an ignition. As a precaution, designated staff assigned as a fire-watch, may stay behind after work completion for up to thirty minutes to ensure a fire doesn’t start after work crews leave a remote site. SMUD’s VM contract crews have on-site fire suppression equipment, ex. fire rake, water backpack and shovels. On remote sites where a masticator is being used, crews have a 200 gallon or greater water tank on hand for fire suppression and perform a one-hour fire watch after work is complete.

4.3 Key risk impacts
If one of the risk drivers listed above were to occur, resulting in a fire ignition or wildfire incident, there could be many potential consequences. The worst-case scenarios could include:

- Personal injuries or fatalities to the public, employees, and contractors
- Damage to public and/or private property
- Damage and loss of SMUD owned facilities and assets
- Impacts to reliability and operations
- Damage claims and litigation costs, as well as fines from governing bodies
- Damage to SMUD’s creditworthiness, or increases cost to borrow money or purchase insurance
- Environmental and ecological damage
- Damage to SMUD’s reputation and loss of public confidence
- Customer and community impacts
- Financial
### 4.4 Climate change

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) defines climate change as the change in the usual weather conditions and patterns found in a region. More specifically, it is a change in the average weather conditions such as temperature, rainfall, snow, ocean, and atmospheric circulation, or in the distribution of weather around the globe. According to NASA, scientists think that the Earth’s temperature will keep increasing for the next 100 years. “This would cause more snow and ice to melt. Oceans would rise higher. Some places would get hotter. Other places might have colder winters with more snow. Some places might get more rain. Other places might get less rain.”

California has already been experiencing the impacts of climate change including prolonged droughts, increased coastal flooding and erosion and tree mortality. The state has also seen increased average temperatures, more extreme heat days, fewer cold nights, a lengthening of the growing season, shifts in the water cycle with less winter precipitation falling as snow and both snowmelt and rainwater running off sooner in the year. In addition to changes in average temperatures, sea level and precipitation patterns, the intensity of extreme weather events is also changing. More frequent extreme weather events and resulting hazards, such as heat waves, wildfires, droughts, and floods are expected.

California’s most recent, Fourth National Climate Assessment issued in November 2018 says that “climate change is expected to increase the frequency and intensity of wildfires,” consistent with many expert predictions that climate change would increase the risk of large and severe wildfires, including a potential increase in the total area burned.

Several climate-related factors have contributed to the increasing risk of wildfires. The severity of wildfires is generally a function of the condition of the combustible vegetation material involved, terrain or setting and weather conditions. Tree stress and mortality, including damage due to insect infestations such as the bark beetle exacerbate fire hazards creating a tinderbox, providing an environment for catastrophic fires. In addition, as air temperatures rise, forests and land are drying out, also increasing fire risks, and creating weather conditions ripe for fire ignition and expansion.

Fire season in much of California has historically extended from early spring through the late fall, due to the dry and hot nature of these months. As a result of the extreme weather conditions and other impacts from climate change as well as the increase in population density and development in the wildland-urban interface (WUI), there’s a growing need for year-round fire prevention and preparedness. SMUD’s service territory is predominantly developed land, shrubland and grassland, while our Upper American River Project traverses heavily forested areas. These varying land cover types will experience differing climate impacts and, as a result, have unique wildfire mitigation requirements.

### 4.5 Tabletop exercise

SMUD regularly conducts tabletop exercises (TTXs) to test, analyze and enhance the current level of SMUD’s internal and external coordination and expertise in responding to potential wildfire threats related to SMUD’s utility system facilities. The TTXs are used to enhance general internal awareness, test SMUD standard emergency operating plans and procedures in the wildfire context, invite collaboration with our public safety partners and community partners, and provide an opportunity to rehearse emergency practices in a simulated environment. The TTX’s operational objectives are developed to evaluate SMUD’s core response capabilities in three specific areas: (1) wildfire preparedness/mitigation, (2) emergency notification and response, and (3) short-term recovery operations and procedures.

SMUD utilizes the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program (HSEEP) principles for its exercise program management, exercise design, development, evaluation, and improvement planning processes.

---

4 California’s Fourth Climate Change Assessment, January 16, 2019. [http://www.climateassessment.ca.gov/state/smu.org/WildfireSafety](http://www.climateassessment.ca.gov/state/smu.org/WildfireSafety)
Each exercise program provides for analysis of data collected during the exercise as well as feedback obtained from relevant stakeholders to inform improvement planning.

SMUD hosts annual TTXs with internal and external stakeholders, including local fire, law enforcement and emergency services serving communities within the exercise design scenario. Strengths in the following areas have been identified: relationships with local emergency response agencies, coordination between the SMUD EOC and local agencies, information-sharing, and clarity of SMUD’s response procedures and WMP. Where appropriate, recommendations for further collaboration and information sharing processes are developed.

### 4.6 Risk reduction efforts under the WMP

Since the adoption of its initial WMP, SMUD has initiated multiple projects to directly reduce the risk of ignitions from SMUD owned powerlines in the UARP and PCA. Three of those projects concluded in 2021 and 2022. The conclusion of these projects resulted in direct ignition risk reduction in Tier 2 and Tier 3 of HFTD areas. The remaining three risk reduction projects are more than halfway completed.

Working with its contractors SMUD completed a project in 2020 to allow remote de-energization of four 4kV circuits that were overhead at the time. The remote capability allowed Power System Operators to de-energize any or all four circuits during high fire threat weather conditions during fire season. The remote de-energization capability reduced the risk of ignitions in Tier 2 and Tier 3 of HFTD during high fire threat weather conditions. This project addressed risks related to the 4kV circuits while SMUD undertook its project to underground the lines.

After evaluating alternatives, SMUD committed to underground approximately three miles of 4kV lines in Tier 2 and Tier 3 of HFTD in the UARP. This undergrounding project was completed by summer of 2022. Seven hundred feet of bare 4kV overhead conductor remains in the HFTD, all of which is over rocky terrain underbuilt on 60 feet to 80 feet tall 69kV structures, and one span of tree conductor crossing over a river. The risk of ignitions in Tier 2 and Tier 3 of HFTD areas from 4kV distribution lines has been virtually eliminated by undergrounding the three overhead circuits.

SMUD also piloted the use of large drones to take high-resolution images of all transmission structures in the UARP. This pilot project concluded in 2021. The drone allowed taking photos of various powerline components on the entire structure, including the foundations, insulators, hardware, crossarms, and the static line on top. Photographs of the components were taken from various angles and elevations, allowing an almost 360-degree view of the individual components. The high-resolution images were analyzed off-site by third-party desktop inspectors. Deficiencies such as loose or missing palnuts (locknuts), loose nuts, loose or missing cotter pins, chipped/contaminated insulators, bent/damaged cross members, gunshot damage, and others were noted. SMUD staff reviewed and prioritized the deficiencies for field corrections. The most severe deficiencies were corrected immediately, or within six months. Other less severe deficiencies were scheduled for correction based on SMUD’s normal repair timeframe. Most of the deficiencies found were items SMUD tower patrolmen normally find during annual visual inspections. However, some deficiencies would not have been discoverable without this technology. This pilot project provided very good feedback to tower patrolmen to focus on certain items during their inspections. This project also documented minimal wear and overall excellent condition of SMUD’s UARP transmission lines that are over sixty years old. This project allowed SMUD to reduce the risk of ignition in Tier 2 and Tier 3 of HFTD areas due to hardware failure or worn components.

The Vegetation Management team has a six-year project to remove select incompatible trees, shrubs, and other vegetation within the transmission line corridor plus 200 feet on either side. The fuels reduction project comprises of mastication via use of ground-based equipment (tractors, feller bunchers, skidders, and chainsaws) to fell and extract trees up to 30 inches in diameter breast height or up to 20 inches diameter breast height in wildlife protected activity center; herbicide application on shrubs and invasives in previously masticated areas; and hand thinning and piling in rocky terrain and where slopes exceed 35%, and to protect
sensitive resources such as stream environment zones, archaeological sites, and critical habitat for threatened and endangered species. Mechanical treatments open the forest canopy, increase sunlight, and so increase the productivity and diversity of plants on the forest floor. Mechanical treatment reduces the risk of crown fires by extracting small to intermediate-size trees which carry fire into the forest canopy. Mastication will be used primarily to implement the ladder fuel treatment prescription, targeting woody shrubs and small-diameter trees, leaving a mixed species composition of 80-100 trees per acre. Mastication of shrubs and small trees (up to 11 inches diameter at breast height) will reduce the density of understory fuels and non-commercially sized trees, increasing heterogeneity in stand structure. Mastication converts ladder fuels to surface fuels and enhances decomposition of biomass, allowing prescribed fire to be implemented with greater ease and control by reducing the amount of oxygen within the fuel structure. Reducing fuels in this manner can allow for broader conditions under which prescribed fire may be applied while accounting for a range of ecological features and attributes, such as animal and bird diversity and soil chemical composition. Implementation of hand thinning is informed by design criteria accounting for and minimizing impacts to forest vegetation, soils, and wildlife. This effort began in 2020 and is expected to continue to 2023.
5 SMUD assets fire threat overview

SMUD provides electricity to its customers via substations and T&D line assets. Table 5 provides a high-level description of SMUD’s T&D assets.

Table 5 Asset description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Category</th>
<th>Asset Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line assets</td>
<td>Assets include conductor and transmission structures operating at or above 115 kV (lines that are tied to generation are considered transmission regardless of operating voltage).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution line assets</td>
<td>Assets include overhead conductor, underground cabling, transformers, voltage regulators, capacitors, switches, line protective devices and street lighting operating at less than 69 kV (all 69 kV lines not tied to generation are considered distribution).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substation assets</td>
<td>Assets include major equipment such as power transformers, voltage regulators, capacitors, reactors, protective devices, relays, open-air structures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 provides an overview of SMUD’s T&D assets in CPUC High Fire Threat District (HFTD) tiers by circuit mile and percentage of total.

Table 6. Overview of SMUD’s T&D assets in CPUC HFTD tiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Outside HFTD</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circuit miles</td>
<td>Circuit miles</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Circuit miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OH transmission</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 &amp; 21 kV</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Generation tie lines)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 kV</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115 kV</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230 kV</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OH distribution</td>
<td>3868</td>
<td>3867</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OH T&amp;D circuit-</td>
<td>4338</td>
<td>4194</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total load serving</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>substations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

- All Tier 2 and Tier 3 facilities are in the UARP area, outside of SMUD’s electric service area.
- Values rounded to nearest mile
5.1 CPUC high fire threat district (HFTD)
SMUD directly participated in the development of the CPUC’s Fire-Threat Map⁵, which defines a statewide high fire threat district (HFTD). SMUD has incorporated the HFTD map into its construction, inspection, maintenance, repair, and clearance practices, where applicable.

In the HFTD map development process, SMUD served as a territory lead, and worked with utility staff and local fire and government officials to identify whether any areas within SMUD’s service territory are at an elevated or extreme risk of powerline ignited wildfire. It was determined through that process and affirmed by both a peer review and a team of independent nationwide experts led by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), that SMUD’s service area is properly situated outside the HFTD. Outside of its service area SMUD’s UARP facilities are situated within both Tier 2 and Tier 3 of the HFTD. Based on these processes, the existing environment and current information, SMUD believes that the HFTD map appropriately identifies the level of wildfire risk within SMUD’s service territory and UARP. SMUD will continue to evaluate factors that may indicate the CPUC should expand the HFTD to include additional areas.

5.2 Fire threat assessment in SMUD service area
SMUD has never experienced a catastrophic wildfire involving its facilities. SMUD’s service area in Sacramento County has a much lower wildfire risk profile than other areas in the State that have suffered destructive wildfires in recent years. When ignition events occur, they have historically been limited in scope. This is largely due to SMUD’s more urban environment, flatter accessible terrain, low grasslands and other fuel sources outside forested areas and fewer wind events.

The CPUC Fire-Threat map identifies Tier 3, extreme fire risk, Tier 2, elevated fire risk, and areas outside of the HFTD. Figure 4 depicts the CPUC Fire-Threat Map and SMUD’s service area location within the map.

⁵ Adopted by CPUC Decision 1-12-024.
SMUD’s assets are located both within HFTD areas (including Tier 2 and 3) and areas not deemed within the HFTD (referred to as non-tier or outside HFTD in this document). Approximately 25% of SMUD’s overhead circuit-miles of wires are located within the HFTD, with approximately 10% located within Tier 3 (“Extreme Fire Threat”). None of SMUD’s distribution substations are located within the HFTD.
5.3 Fire threat assessment in UARP, Western Sierra Nevada Mountain range

SMUD’s greatest fire threat risk is in the Western Sierra Nevada Mountain range, where the hydroelectric project known as the “UARP” is located. The project’s powerhouses and switchyards are interconnected via multiple 69kV and 230kV transmission lines which traverse through the mountain range through CPUC’s HFTD Tier 2 and Tier 3 designated areas. All 230kV circuits and three 69kV circuits are constructed with lattice steel towers between 100 feet and 150 feet tall. One 69kV circuit is constructed with three-to-five pole wooden structures between 60 feet and 80 feet tall.

SMUD’s UARP is in highly susceptible area to wildfires specifically due to the regional climate that facilitates regular drought conditions, the natural plant communities that produce excess fuel, and the natural and anthropogenic ignition sources. Within the UARP, vegetation adjacent to electrical infrastructure (powerhouses, transmission lines, switchyards, etc.) is a source of fuel. Extended periods of warm temperatures could increase fuels and the possibility of wildfires. Greater numbers of diseased and dead trees also increase this possibility.

The most notable recent wildfires are the Caldor Fire in 2021, King Fire in 2014, Freds Fire in 2004, and Cleveland Fire in 1992. The Caldor fire in 2021 did not impact SMUD’s transmission lines and powerhouses. The King fire impacted approximately 20 miles of SMUD transmission lines in 2014. Historically, areas above 5,000 feet were less likely to see a major fire (between Robbs Peak and Loon Lake), with one major fire, Bottle Hill, in 1917 near Gerle Creek Reservoir. Several large fires have periodically burned in the lower elevation canyon areas near White Rock Powerhouse, the most recent being the Chili Bar Fire in 1979. Although the King Fire did not substantially damage hydroelectric infrastructure, it did do major damage to the forest and watersheds around the UARP. According to the U.S. Forest Service in El Dorado County, it is unlikely for any treatments or post fire timber harvesting to be conducted in the steep canyons where much of the hydroelectric infrastructure is located. SMUD’s transmission line Right-Of-Ways acted as fire breaks to help stop the spread of the King fire.

Operation of SMUD’s facilities in the UARP have never been associated with the ignition of a wildfire. The King and Caldor Fires demonstrate the high risk of fire in the terrain through which SMUD transmission lines traverse. Continuing drought and climate change continues to exacerbate this risk.

Figure 5 shows the UARP area where all SMUD’s Tier 2 and Tier 3 assets are located.
**5.4 CAL FIRE Fire Resource and Assessment Program (FRAP)**

CAL FIRE publishes multiple maps related to fire threat throughout the state. SMUD refers to the Fire Hazard Severity Zone (FHSZ)\(^6\) map for many years to inform and plan maintenance activities. In November 2022, CAL FIRE published a new State Responsibility Area (SRA) FHSZ map\(^7\) for public review and comment. This map is expected to be finalized in 2023. Additionally, CAL FIRE will publish a new map depicting FHSZ for Local Responsibility Area (LRA) and Federal Responsibility Area (FRA). The new map depicts slight changes in fire hazard severity in the PCA SMUD will incorporate information from the new and future FHSZ maps into SMUD’s mitigation programs as needed.

Although SMUD takes CAL FIRE’s FHSZ mapping into consideration as part of its wildfire mitigation planning, SMUD’s Wildfire Mitigation Plan references the CPUC Fire Threat Map that focuses on the risk of utility associated wildfires\(^8\).

---

\(^6\) [https://egis.fire.ca.gov/FHSZ/](https://egis.fire.ca.gov/FHSZ/)
\(^8\) [https://www.cafirefoundation.org/cms/assets/uploads/2020/05/CPUC_Fire-Threat_Map_final.pdf](https://www.cafirefoundation.org/cms/assets/uploads/2020/05/CPUC_Fire-Threat_Map_final.pdf)
6 Wildfire prevention strategy and program

SMUD has a robust set of measures to address potential wildfire risks. The WMP will incorporate existing efforts and identify the process moving forward to supplement them where a need is identified.

SMUD regularly coordinates with local fire agencies and other first response agencies. It also participates with emergency operations activities in its system areas. SMUD has robust Vegetation Management (VM) programs with accelerated and targeted VM work (pruning & removal) cycles and are using enhanced technologies including LiDAR and Ortho Imagery (these technologies can help identify diseased trees and trees that are a risk to SMUD facilities). It also has robust inspection and maintenance programs that include traditional aerial patrols with helicopters, IR inspections using helicopters (which can detect heat from power equipment before an event occurs) and regular ground inspections of all facilities (including core testing of the wood poles).

SMUD has explored potential system improvements in certain locations such as the use of non-sparking equipment in key areas (e.g., use of CAL FIRE exempt fuses), replacing wood poles with steel or ductile iron in certain cases and the use of covered conductor alternatives.

SMUD has protocols for disabling automatic reclosing and for de-energizing lines for public safety. Some conditions that factor into these protocols include: RFW, forecasted temperatures above 100°F, winds exceeding design standards and low humidity. It also has an Outage Communications Plan that addresses potential de-energization events. SMUD will include targeted messaging for affected areas that will set expectations and identify support resources. Table 7 describes activities that address SMUD’s key wildfire risk factors.

Table 7 Activities that address wildfire risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>Vegetation management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fuels reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of LiDAR and Ortho Imagery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment/facility failure</td>
<td>Routine maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focused design and construction standards to reduce ignition sources (e.g., use of non-expulsion fuses and arrestors, replacement of wood poles, undergrounding and other options)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transmission and distribution line detailed inspections and annual patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No reclosing during fire season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intrusive pole testing and pole replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>De-energization of lines during certain conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact from object(s)</td>
<td>Animal/bird guards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raptor construction (increased line spacing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased vegetation clearances (at time of work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire to wire contact</td>
<td>Weather station and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>SMUD worker/contractor education on fire ignition sources from normal work activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.1 Distribution grid operational practices

6.1.1 Disabling reclosing during fire season
SMUD has procedures for the operation of reclosers. For the purposes of those procedures, fire season is defined as:

- May 1 to October 1, or
- RFW in effect for areas inside or immediately surrounding the PCA

SMUD disables automatic reclosing on certain substation and line reclosers that extend into the PCA. In some cases, the line reclosers are completely bypassed with fuses if automatic reclosing cannot be disabled. On circuits where line reclosers are bypassed, the fuses provide protection to the end of the line. Due to climate change, the dry summer season is extending further into the year. Reclosing will remain disabled until the first major rain event in the service territory. See Figure 7 for graphic of the PCA.

6.1.2 Planned de-energization during fire season
During fire season, SMUD has elected to take certain measures to mitigate the risk of wildfires in the PCA, that could potentially migrate to the HFTD areas. When weather conditions that precede wildfires are forecasted and a wildfire threat is imminent, SMUD’s Distribution System Operations (DSO) personnel have the authority to de-energize select distribution circuits in the PCA. DSO personnel will use individual or multiple de-energization triggers listed below, as well as power system knowledge and potential community impacts, to make de-energization decisions. This decision requires a balancing of all these factors as well as a knowledge of the area and operation of the power system. No single trigger is determinative. DSO relies on weather data from various sources, including Wunderground.com and SMUD’s internal Energy Management System.

Triggers for de-energization of PCA circuits:

- Imminent fire danger
- Customer or community impacts
- A RFW declaration by National Weather Service in effect for areas inside or immediately surrounding the PCA
- Critically dry vegetation that could serve as fuel for a wildfire
- Low humidity levels
- Temperatures over 100°F
- Winds projected beyond 12kV design criteria (56 mph)
- Mandatory fire orders in effect (as directed by any Agency Incident Commander)
- On-the-ground, real-time observation from SMUD or other agency field staff

SMUD’s DSO personnel have the authority to de-energize portions of the distribution grid during emergency conditions when requested by local law enforcement or fire officials. These requests are handled individually, and don’t fall under PUC 8387 requirements.
6.2 Transmission grid operational practices

6.2.1 Disabling reclosing
All Valley 115 kV, 230 kV and UARP 69 kV, 230 kV transmission auto reclosers are disabled and will remain disabled to mitigate wildfire risks. The disabling of reclosing follows fire season described in 6.1.1

6.2.2 Planned de-energization during fire season
SMUD’s Power System Operators (PSO) have the authority to de-energize portions or all the Valley and UARP transmission line(s) for safety, reliability, conditions beyond design criteria, threat of wildfires and during emergency conditions when requested by local law enforcement or fire officials. Per existing protocols, planned de-energizations are coordinated with interconnected agencies.

During active fire season as declared by CAL FIRE the PSO is authorized to de-energize portions or all the Valley and UARP transmission line(s) when there is imminent fire danger, mandatory fire orders are in effect, and/or the transmission system is experiencing conditions beyond design criteria. The PSO will take a combination of many factors into consideration when implementing de-energization procedures, which include the triggers listed below, as well as power system knowledge and potential community impacts. De-energization decisions require a balancing of all these factors as well as a knowledge of the area and operation of the power system. No single element is determinative.

- Extreme fire danger threat levels, as classified by the National Fire Danger Rating System
- A RFW declaration by the National Weather Service
- Low humidity levels lower than what is required for a RFW
- Sustained winds exceeding design standards
- Site-specific conditions such as temperature, terrain, and local climate
- Critically dry vegetation that could serve as fuel for a wildfire
- On-the-ground, real-time observation from SMUD or other agency field staff

The PSO utilizes various operational and situational awareness tools to determine when de-energization is appropriate. The tools are listed below:

- Weather data telemetered into SMUD’s Energy Management System, such as wind speed, wind direction, air temperature, barometric pressure and relative humidity
- CAL FIRE Incidents Information, https://www.fire.ca.gov/incidents
- CAL FIRE California Statewide Fire Map: https://www.fire.ca.gov/incidents/
- National Weather Service: https://www.weather.gov/
- Indji Watch real time operational tool
- Geographic Information System (GIS) based tools
- ALERTWildfire: http://www.alertwildfire.org/tahoe/index.html
- NOAA/National Weather Service Storm Prediction Center: https://www.spc.noaa.gov/
6.3 Infrastructure inspections and maintenance
SMUD performs a multitude of time-based inspections on its T&D facilities. A description of the inspections is summarized in the following sections.

6.3.1 Transmission line inspections
SMUD’s transmission lines are grouped in two inspection areas. UARP region includes all lines east of Folsom going up to the hydroelectric facilities in the Sierra. The Valley region comprises of all transmission lines in SMUD’s service territory.

6.3.1.1 Aerial patrols (helicopter)
SMUD uses helicopters to perform aerial inspections of transmission lines. During these patrols, line inspectors inspect the condition of line structures and attachments, any structural problems and safety hazards, damage to insulators, vibration dampers, hardware, conductors, static shield wires, optical ground wires, signs of hot spots, potential vegetation concerns and tower identification signs (aerial signs).

Aerial patrols are performed twice a year on all lines in the UARP and once a year in the Valley.

6.3.1.2 Ground patrols
Line inspectors use a combination of walking and driving when conducting ground patrols. They visit transmission tower sites to make detailed visual inspections and on occasion they complete IR inspections. The line inspectors utilize binoculars to detect any damage to above ground components. Line inspectors may climb towers identified with severe corrosion or deformation to determine the corrective action required.

Ground patrols are performed annually on all lines in the UARP, and every two years on all lines in the Valley.

6.3.1.3 IR inspections (helicopter)
The line inspectors use IR cameras to inspect transmission lines as part of one of the helicopter patrols. An IR camera is used to identify “hot spots” on current carrying components of the transmission line. Hot spots could be an indication of loose connections that may fail. The images are saved, and written reports are prepared, which document the conditions found. The documentation identifies the location, problem found, date and time of the IR inspection. When the thermographer identifies abnormal conditions, these are reported for investigation and correction as necessary. Items identified are reported and scheduled for correction.

IR inspections are performed annually on all lines in the UARP, and every two years in the Valley.

6.3.1.4 Wood pole intrusive inspections
Intrusive inspections require sample material be taken for analysis, and/or using more sophisticated diagnostic tools beyond visual inspections or instrument reading. Wood poles are subjected to an intrusive inspection to determine and identify problems such as rot and decay. The inspection is performed using a calibrated drill bit that records the resistance and pressure required to drill a fixed diameter hole to a measured depth. The results are produced as a graph on a depth scale which is used to find voids and decay within the pole.

SMUD intrusively inspects wood poles at a minimum cycle of 10 years and a maximum cycle of 14 years.

6.3.1.5 Vegetation right-of-way maintenance
Both line inspectors and VM planners visually inspect the T&D ROW for encroachments, access road conditions and safety hazards. Two traditional helicopter patrols are also conducted annually by certified arborists or registered professional foresters to specifically inspect for vegetation issues that could threaten SMUD facilities. The VM ROW maintenance program’s approach is to remove and prune vegetation in the
ROW of incompatible species and to maintain low-growing native and diverse plant communities that are compatible with electrical facilities by using Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) Wire Zone-Border Zone Management which is the industry standard. This is a long-term approach which supports system reliability through reclaiming the ROW and managing for future workload. This approach allows for ongoing monitoring of vegetation corridors to prevent encroachment into the minimum vegetation clearance distance (MVCD) and ensures SMUD facilities meet or exceed state laws and industry standards.

Traditional (boots on the ground) vegetation ROW inspections are performed annually on all transmission, and distribution lines in the UARP, and regularly one to three years on T&D lines in the Valley.

6.3.1.6 Splice assessment program
This program is designed to assess the integrity of transmission conductor splices. The technology employed by a contractor uses an x-ray machine that encompasses a splice and takes an x-ray image of the splice. Inspectors then evaluate the image to determine the internal condition of the splice. This allows staff to identify splices that are potentially close to failure. A special type of in-line splice connector corrector is installed to strengthen the splice when needed.

6.3.1.7 Aerial photography via UAV with desktop review
SMUD has a new program to use large drones to capture high-resolution photos of transmission structures. The photos are reviewed separately offline by the contractor and SMUD’s trained personnel. The high-resolution photos allow the reviewer to zoom-in and identify deficiencies that are not possible to identify during traditional inspection methods. The reviewer can identify loose or degrading hardware including cotter keys, improper splice installation, loose fiber optic or ground wire attachments, stressed/chipped/tracked insulators, foundation problems, bullets lodged in conductors, etc. The photos are taken from multiple angles and elevations allowing in-depth review and confirmation of deficiencies. Identified deficiencies are scheduled for correction as needed.

Aerial photography with desktop review will be performed once for all structures, and a cycle will be established after.

6.3.2 Distribution line inspections
SMUD performs various inspections on distribution lines to ensure safety, reliability, and consistency with standards in California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) General Order (GO) 95, GO 128 and GO 165.

6.3.2.1 Detailed line inspections
Line inspectors use a combination of walking and driving when conducting detailed line inspections (DLIs). They visit each SMUD pole to make detailed visual inspections. The line inspectors utilize binoculars to detect damage to above ground components attached to the pole. The inspectors look for broken or loose hardware; mechanical damage to any component; condition of guy wires and anchors; condition of insulators and conductors; condition of disconnects and fuse holders; condition of risers and conduits; condition of transformers, reclosers and cap banks. Ground conductors, moldings, signs, and other minor hardware is also inspected. Similar inspections are performed on pad-mounted equipment and equipment installed below grade in vaults or building basements. Identified deficiencies are scheduled for correction as needed.

DLIs are performed every five years on all overhead distribution equipment and pad-mounted equipment, and every three years on underground equipment.

6.3.2.2 Line patrols
Line patrollers patrol their designated service area and track their progress with a GIS enabled visualization tool. The use of the tool ensures that all devices within SMUD’s service territory are patrolled. The patrollers
are looking for obvious signs of defects, structural damages, broken hardware, sagging lines and vegetation clearance issues. Identified deficiencies are scheduled for correction as needed.

Line patrols are performed annually on all distribution lines and equipment.

6.3.2.3 69 kV and Pole Clearing Area 12 kV IR inspections (helicopter)
SMUD performs helicopter IR inspections on 69 kV circuits in the Valley and 12 kV circuits within the PCA. See section 6.3.1.3 for additional description.

69 kV and PCA 12 kV IR inspections are performed every other year in the Valley.

6.3.2.4 Wood pole intrusive inspections
Distribution wood pole intrusive inspections follow the same criteria as transmission wood poles intrusive inspections. See section 6.3.1.4.

6.3.2.5 Annual pole clearing program
The pole clearing program is an annual requirement to clear vegetation around poles in the PCA that have certain CAL FIRE non-exempt equipment on them. This program follows California Public Resource Code 4292. The code calls for clearing vegetation within a 10-foot radius of a pole or tower on which non-exempt equipment is attached, unless such pole or tower meets certain criteria that makes it exempt from the clearance requirements. SMUD contracts this activity out for completion prior to May 15th of each year.

6.3.3 Distribution substation inspections
SMUD performs various inspections on substations to ensure safety and reliability. SMUD inspections meet or exceed standards in CPUC GO 174.

6.3.3.1 Visual inspections
Substation inspectors visit each SMUD substation to visually inspect the facility and all equipment within. The inspectors look for broken or loose hardware; vandalism or damage to any equipment; oil or gas leaks; perimeter fence security; condition of the buss, insulators, and other hardware; condition of the control house; conditions of the poles/structures and lines exiting the substation; condition of the disconnects and fuses for signs of damage and connectivity. Deficiencies are noted and scheduled for correction as needed.

Visual inspections are performed at least 10 times per year.

6.4 Vegetation management
SMUD’s VM program is responsible for the patrol, work plans and quality control (QC) audits of the actual tree work for the transmission and distribution system in the Valley, as well as the transmission and distribution system in the UARP. These activities are performed year-round to maintain compliance with applicable Federal Facilities Design, Connections and Maintenance (FAC) 003-5 and State regulations, including Public Resources Codes section 4292 and 4293; and incorporate the standards in CPUC GO 95 Rule 35.

6.4.1 Distribution system vegetation management
SMUD performs routine traditional vegetation maintenance, such as pruning and removal, on a time-based interval. This interval consists of one, two, and three-year ground-based field patrols. The field patrols are ground based inspections of tree and conductor clearances and hazard tree identification. The results of the patrols are targeted areas for vegetation pruning or removal.

SMUD hires contracted Line Clearance Qualified tree contractors to complete the identified annual vegetation work (pruning and removal) needed to ensure public safety and electric reliability as well as reduce wildfire risk in SMUD’s service territory. During the tree work, the contractor aims to achieve up to 15 feet of
clearance in local responsibility areas within SMUD’s Service Area and 30+ feet of clearance in the HFTD (Tiers 2 and 3) at time of tree work, unless otherwise directed by SMUD VM staff. The contractor also clears vegetation from SMUD’s secondary voltage, service drops and pole climbing space on an as needed basis. SMUD’s contractors follow American National Standards Institute (ANSI) A300 concepts and utility directional pruning, which supports proper pruning/tree health while achieving and maximizing the work cycle.

6.4.2 Transmission system vegetation management

SMUD VM planners perform traditional annual ground-based field patrols to ensure compliance with state and federal regulatory requirements (Public Resource Code 4293) and alignment with standards in CPUC GO 95 Rule 35 and FAC 003-5. The field patrols are traditional, ground-based inspections of tree and conductor clearances and hazard tree identification. The results of the patrols are targeted areas for vegetation pruning or removal. Additionally, SMUD completes two annual aerial patrols in El Dorado County to address the ongoing challenge of tree mortality due to drought and various insect vectors.

SMUD hires contracted Line Clearance Qualified tree contractors to complete the identified annual vegetation work (pruning and removal) needed to ensure public safety and electric reliability as well as reduce wildfire risk.

During the tree work, the contractor follows the planner’s prescription (scope of work) to achieve the desired safety clearance. SMUD’s contractors follow ANSI A300 concepts and utility directional pruning, which supports proper pruning/tree health while achieving and maximizing the pruning cycle. Additionally, SMUD’s transmission VM program aligns with ANSI A300 Part 7 IVM standard.

6.5 Fire mitigation construction

6.5.1 Ester-based insulating fluid in transformers

Natural ester-based insulating fluids are derived from renewable vegetable oils – providing improved fire safety, transformer life/load ability and environmental benefits that are superior to mineral oil and unsurpassed by any other dielectric coolant. SMUD began purchasing and installing pad mounted and pole mounted transformers with ester-based fluid in 2004. All new distribution transformers installed since 2004 and moving forward contain ester based insulating fluid. This includes replacements for old transformers and new installations.

6.5.2 Non-expulsion equipment in PCA

SMUD has identified additional targeted wildfire mitigation measures for the PCA. A capital program is in place and scheduled to replace all expulsion type equipment. SMUD may have to replace poles, lines or equipment as ongoing activities. For this reason, SMUD crews will also install non-expulsion equipment (CAL FIRE exempt equipment) as part of any construction activity in the PCA.

6.5.3 Weather stations

SMUD has 14 weather stations within its service territory and UARP, eight are in the Sacramento Metropolitan area and six in the UARP. Of the six in the UARP, four weather stations are positioned on towers located within the HFTD to support SMUD’s de-energization procedures. Data from weather stations installed in SMUD’s service territory and UARP assist in the real-time monitoring of weather conditions for situational awareness and to help inform implementation of mitigation measures such as de-energization of transmission lines.

6.5.4 Covered conductor and Ductile Iron poles

A new generator tie line was required to interconnect a new small hydro generator to PG&E’s distribution system in the UARP. This opportunity was used to pilot the installation of covered conductor installed on ductile iron poles. This line is the first of its kind in SMUD’s experience and has provided valuable information.
to SMUD engineering and construction staff. This information can be used to identify other potential locations for use of covered conductor solutions.

### 6.6 Enhancement and mitigation projects

SMUD forecasts and plans for upcoming work several years in advance. This planning process allows adequate level of staffing and funding for needed projects. This section identifies the specific upcoming projects that help reduce SMUD’s wildfire risk.

#### 6.6.1 Install non-expulsion devices in PCA

**Start date:** 2020  
**Expected completion:** 2025

This project targets SMUD’s PCA to reduce the risk of fire ignitions by installing non-expulsion equipment (CAL FIRE exempt equipment⁹). Existing overhead fuses and fuse holders will be replaced with non-expulsion type fuses. Existing arresters will be replaced with new arrestors that have arc protection. In addition, the connectors used to attach the devices to conductors will be replaced with Cal FIRE exempt wedge-type connectors.

#### 6.6.2 Replace #6 Copper conductors in PCA

**Start Date:** 2021  
**Expected Completion:** 2023

This project targets SMUD’s PCA for removal of #6 copper conductors and replacement with heavier gauge aluminum. The project was proposed in conjunction with Eagle Take Permit mitigation work to reduce avian contacts issued in connection with the expansion of SMUD’s Wind Farm in Solano County. The mitigation activity involves re-framing approximately 185 poles to increase overhead conductor spacing.

#### 6.6.3 UARP 4kV UG conversion

**Start Date:** 2020  
**Completed:** 2022

After performing a feasibility study of alternatives, SMUD decided to remove the 4kV bare wire lines in the UARP and install underground infrastructure. Two of the shorter lines were undergrounded in 2020 and 2021. Construction was completed on the longest of the three lines in summer of 2022.

#### 6.6.4 UARP Fuels Reduction

**Start Date:** 2019  
**Expected Completion:** 2023

This project is designed to help protect the UARP transmission lines and strengthen the fire break value it provides. The project area includes the entire length of SMUD’s UARP transmission line within the existing right-of-way corridor plus approximately 200 feet on each side. Project treatments are designed to increase the area of forest lands treated for fuels reduction and prescribed fire and contribute to the longer-term restoration of the Crystal Basin forested landscape. Implementation measures will reduce the density of surface and ladder fuels by mechanical thinning, mastication, and hand crew work as part of a larger suite of silvicultural prescriptions that restore mixed conifer composition, health, and vigor. The project seeks to establish conditions that allow for a mosaic of multiple age class forest stands, variation in tree size, density,
and species composition through treatments that retain the largest trees while establishing conditions that allow for safe and efficient fire suppression, especially around private inholdings of Sierra foothill communities.

6.6.5 Install SCADA reclosers in PCA

Start Date: 2024  
Expected Completion: 2026

The existing 12kV feeders serving PCA customers are non-SCADA. This project will install SCADA enabled reclosers on feeders that serve SMUD’s PCA customers. The SCADA reclosers will provide distribution operators visibility to the circuits and ability to operate the recloser remotely, including remotely disabling the reclosing function. The SCADA enabled reclosers will have modern microprocessor-based controllers, which will provide SMUD engineers the flexibility of fast-trip settings during fire season, and normal settings for improved reliability during storm season. Visibility to circuit’s measured values will provide distribution operators the ability to remotely de-energize the circuit(s) when conditions warrant or when requested by emergency response personnel.

6.7 Pilot projects

Pilot projects are initiated to explore technologies and practices that are new to SMUD. These projects are intended for SMUD staff to evaluate the effectiveness and benefits of the technologies or practices. The pilot must prove successful to implement the technology or practice. Some of the factors considered at the conclusion of a pilot are proven risk reductions, material and installation costs, ease and efficiency of installations and overall effectiveness of the technology. Based on the results of the pilots, SMUD may elect to integrate the technologies or practices into its various ongoing maintenance programs. Current pilot projects are described below.

6.7.1 VM Aerial LiDAR\textsuperscript{10},\textsuperscript{10} ortho and oblique imagery

Start Date: 2017  
Expected Completion: As needed

SMUD contracted with an external vendor to utilize LiDAR and remote sensing to supplement or enhance traditional “boots on the ground” vegetation patrols. Both LiDAR and Ortho imagery is obtained from rotary and fixed wing aircraft. The technology measures vegetation clearance distances from the conductor in both “as flown” and modeled conditions. Modeling is taking all the engineering calculations for maximum load and wind ratings to calculate clearance distances in “full operating range of the respective facility.” Ortho Imagery is used to provide a more accurate and pre-mature visibility of vegetation in decline that may not yet be visible to the human eye.

The vendor captured LiDAR data along the transmission corridors in the UARP, as well as the portions of Sacramento County designated as CAL FIRE’s State Responsibility Area (SRA) for both T&D circuits. The LiDAR detections are categorized by priority. As soon as SMUD VM receives notification, SMUD VM field checks within 2-3 business days, and most within the same day data is received). Urgent and future potential conflicts are field checked and tree work prescribed as needed within 2-4 weeks of obtaining the data. Additionally, longer range detection conflicts are prioritized and incorporated into routine annual patrols.

\textsuperscript{10} Additional Information: https://www.neonscience.org/lidar-basics
(Transmission & SRA Distribution). These are reviewed by SMUD VM planners during annual patrols and tree work prescribed as required.

**6.8 Emerging Technologies**

SMUD recognizes that numerous emerging technologies are developing and may play a role in building the resiliency of the system. SMUD will continue to monitor available technologies in future WMPs.

**6.9 Workforce Training**

SMUD has work rules and complementary training programs for its workforce to help reduce the likelihood of the ignition of wildfires. In summary:

SMUD Line workers complete a 4-year apprenticeship with over 7,500 hours of on-the-job training, in-class, hands-on, and eLearning training. Beyond the carefully documented and tracked on-the-job training Line worker Apprentices also participate in 2 weeks of Initial Field Training, a 24 week Cold / Hot School, and annual safety / regulatory bundled training. All training programs include a mix of classroom and hands-on training. Each Apprentice is held accountable to their training and progress is measured through a step test system that includes a written and hands-on / practical test every six months.

In addition, all new SMUD Line workers receive a 1.5-hour hands-on Fire Safety training during Initial Field Training. This training consists of 30 minutes classroom training that covers hazards, hot work near power poles and off-road activities, red flag warnings, preparedness, fire extinguishers, and more. The training also includes about 60 minutes of hands-on training using fire extinguishers. In addition, all SMUD Line workers receive a 30–60-minute Fire Safety training every two years. This training includes classroom and hands-on training. SMUD also offers a 30-minute Fire Safety eLearning module that can be offered when the hands-on training is not feasible.

For work occurring in the UARP, all employees and contractors receive wildfire prevention, mitigation, and response training prior to the start of work. This includes compliance requirements for SMUD’s Hot Work Standard and Eldorado National Forest’s Project Activity Level fire prevention and mitigation measures.
7 Response Guidelines

7.1 Emergency Preparedness and Response

As a publicly owned utility, SMUD has planning, communication, and coordination obligations pursuant to the California Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) Regulations adopted in accordance with Government Code section 8607. The SEMS Regulations specify roles, responsibilities, and structures of communications at five different levels: field response, local government, operational area, regional and state. SMUD has adopted SEMS and other local, state, and federal emergency management doctrine into its comprehensive Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). The EOP identifies wildfire as a major risk in accordance with this Wildfire Mitigation Plan. Pursuant to this structure, SMUD regularly coordinates and communicates with the relevant safety agencies as well as other relevant local and state agencies, as a peer partner.

SMUD interacts with emergency response agencies on a peer-to-peer relationship. As part of SMUD’s response to a storm, fire, rotating outage, black start events, etc., SMUD collaborates with the local Office of Emergency Services (OES) and provides an agency representative (liaison) to the county (and/or city) Emergency Operations Centers (EOC) to ensure appropriate communication and coordination. Our two primary coordination points for wildfire-related coordination are Sacramento County OES and El Dorado County Sheriff’s Office OES (for the UARP region). Additionally, SMUD maintains good relationships with Yolo (gas pipeline), Placer (service territory and UARP), Solano (Wind Farm) and Yuba (Camp Far West) counties.

Upon notification of potential proactive de-energizations or rotating outage events due to wildfire concerns, Emergency Preparedness (EP) staff will coordinate with the appropriate County OES to ensure that all first and emergency response organizations are notified of the de-energization and estimated restoration time (if known). In addition, during a highly localized event, EP Staff will directly notify first and emergency responder jurisdictions of the de-energization/outage.

SMUD EP staff also invite counties to send agency representatives into SMUD’s EOC. These representatives can include personnel from the following organizations: City of Sacramento Fire Department, Sacramento Metropolitan Fire District, City of Folsom Fire Department, local cities, Sacramento County OES, the National Weather Service, and other local critical infrastructure agencies, ensuring coordination for our service territory.

Additionally, SMUD EP staff serve as SMUD’s utility representatives when requested by the California Governor’s OES (CalOES) at the California State Operations Center (SOC) for the California Utilities Emergency Association (CUEA), providing a direct link for critical infrastructure coordination to the SOC.
### SEMS Levels

#### State
California State Operations Center (SOC) (Mather, California)
California Utilities Emergency Association (CUEA) Utilities Operations Center (UOC) (Mather, California)

#### Region
California OES Inland Region Emergency Operations Center (Inland REOC) (Sacramento, California)

#### Operational Area
Sacramento Operational Area Emergency Operations Center (Sacramento, California)
El Dorado Operational Area Emergency Operations Center (Placerville, California)

#### Field
SMUD Emergency Operations Center (Sacramento, California)
SMUD Field Operations/Incident Command (At Emergency Location)

---

### 7.2 Public and agency communications for a potential wildfire

Public safety is a guiding principle at SMUD. De-energizing powerlines may be the safest approach and makes sense if the risk of a wildfire starting and spreading is severe. While SMUD’s WMP activities are designed to mitigate wildfire danger, in instances of high fire threat conditions, interruption of electrical service by de-energizing powerlines may be necessary as a last resort. SMUD proactively communicates with customers and key stakeholders through multiple channels about preparing for potential power outages, and the power restoration process. SMUD recognizes that many entities and individuals are particularly vulnerable during extended power outages and makes every effort to provide up-to-date information to these populations prior to, during and after an event.

This proactive communication is utilized for:

1. A wildfire threat to localized circuits within the SMUD service territory that results in localized de-energization.
2. A wildfire threat to SMUD’s UARP hydroelectric generation and transmission system that results in a de-energization event causing a capacity/energy shortage (rotating outages).
3) A wildfire threat to a major shared transmission line(s) that impacts the statewide grid or parts of it and creates a resource shortage for the utilities, including SMUD, that rely on the resources the line(s) provides.

SMUD has implemented an opt-in program on smud.org that allows for vulnerable populations to receive additional information or notifications in the unlikely event of a wildfire in SMUD service territory.

Among SMUD’s vulnerable customers are those enrolled in the Medical Equipment Discount Rate program (MED rate). These customers rely on specialized medical equipment. SMUD also has a Vulnerable Customer program which allows customers to self-identify as vulnerable for concerns not covered by our MED Rate. SMUD has more than 11,300 customers who rely on specialized medical equipment and who are enrolled in the MED rate program or enrolled in our Vulnerable customer program. SMUD will send these customers an email or letter each year to remind them of the risk of wildfire danger, to have an emergency back-up plan if an outage occurs and refer them to www.smud.org/WildfireSafety for more information.

All SMUD customers can visit the smud.org/wildfiresafety webpage where they’ll be able to find:

- Wildfire mitigation plan
- Information on how SMUD mitigates fire risk
- Emergency preparedness tips guide (7 languages)
- Links to additional resources
- Video on wildfire mitigation efforts
- Rotating outage map and periodic event updates
- Frequently Asked Questions on the de-energization process

Smud.org/WildfireSafety provides access to information about SMUD’s effort in wildfire planning and prevention (including an archive of this and prior WMPs), how to identify fire risk in areas where SMUD maintains electric facilities, a video on our wildfire mitigation efforts, emergency planning and preparation (in six different languages) and SMUD’s de-energization protocols.

SMUD also proactively communicates before potential emergency events about our efforts to prepare for and reduce wildfire risk.

In advance of peak wildfire season, SMUD conducts ongoing communications about how to prepare for emergencies in the event of a wildfire, natural disaster, or major outage. The communications include:

- Letters and emails to MED Rate, Senior ID and vulnerable customers, with preparation checklists.
- Outdoor billboards
- Digital monitors in our customer lobby
- Bill inserts
- Reminders on SMUD.org homepage encouraging customers to update contact information
- Customer newsletters (print and email) on safety tips, preparation.

SMUD’s public information specialists will provide ongoing updates on multiple platforms, including social media, to provide customers and the community with up-to-date information about an emergency or potential emergency.

SMUD’s government affairs representatives will reach out to the executive staff of local governments, elected officials, SMUD’s state delegation, federal and tribe representatives and appropriate agency staff to provide initial contact and ongoing communications by email and phone with messages for their constituents.
In the time leading up to a potential or imminent de-energization event or emergency, SMUD makes every effort to maintain contact with customers it believes may be impacted and keep the media, local agencies and the public aware of the number of customers affected and SMUD’s activities and restoration efforts.

Key stakeholders and public safety partners, including potentially impacted federal, state, and local elected officials, City and County executive staff, tribe representatives and first responders are also contacted via a variety of channels. SMUD has specific personnel assigned to elected officials and agencies, and to critical customers including water and telecommunications utilities potentially affected by de-energized powerlines.

### 7.2.1 Event communications

Whenever possible, SMUD will provide potentially impacted customers with notice before implementing any de-energization action, using all available channels to reach customers and other stakeholders with outage information. Sudden onset of emergency conditions could impact SMUD’s ability to provide advanced notice to customers.

SMUD sends automated pre-recorded phone calls to customers in the impacted areas/neighborhoods advising when the outage is called and directs them to smud.org/outages for up-to-date information. SMUD.org is updated with features to further enhance customer communications before and during de-energization events.

The SMUD Contact Center’s Interactive Voice Response (IVR) will have real-time recorded information informing each group of customers that may be impacted before the de-energizations begin. Messages will be customized and updated as needed for each specific event.

### 7.2.2 Public safety partners, government agencies, tribes, and critical infrastructure providers

De-energization is a last resort to maintain public and customer safety during extreme fire risk conditions. If extreme fire danger resulted in de-energization or planned rotating outages, SMUD will provide proactive communications to alert key stakeholders and essential service providers and provide as much notice as possible to minimize the impact on our customers and community.

The following customer categories are considered essential and/or critical service providers:

- Jurisdictions providing essential fire, police and prison services
- Government agencies essential to national defense
- Hospitals, assisted living and skilled nursing facilities
- Communication utilities, as they relate to public health, welfare and security, including telephone utilities
- Radio and television broadcasting stations used for broadcasting emergency messages, instruction, and other public information related to the electric curtailment emergency
- Water and sewage treatment utilities identified as necessary for services such as firefighting

SMUD interacts regularly with executive staffs, elected officials, other government representatives and key critical infrastructure customers to keep them updated on its wildfire mitigation efforts. SMUD also works closely with staff members in various departments of regional and local governments, public utilities, nonprofits and other service providers on collaborative strategies and partnership opportunities.

Examples of SMUD’s communication and engagement initiatives include:

- Regular in-person briefings with federal, state, and local elected officials and key staff on wildfire risk mitigation and other utility-related issues with comprehensive “leave-behind” materials.
- Meetings with regional and local government staff and elected officials focused on individual districts, communities and neighborhoods and mitigation opportunities.
• Regular in-person and/or digital communication with critical facilities and key customers through SMUD Strategic Account Advisors.
• Interagency projects, collaborative staff training efforts and regular communication with first responders and essential service providers.
• Cross-SMUD participation with the El Dorado County Wildfire Mitigation Stakeholder Group and at other El Dorado County government, public and community meetings.
• Ongoing communication, collaboration and support for local Fire Safe Councils and other fire prevention agencies and nonprofits.
8 Restoration of service

If a transmission or distribution line has been de-energized in anticipation of a wildfire threat, SMUD troubleshooters or patrollers must perform multiple steps prior to re-energization. In an event of a wildfire where distribution poles or transmission structures were burned, additional steps must be taken to rebuild the lines.

8.1 Steps to restoration of service

SMUD work crews must take several important steps prior to restoring electrical service after a de-energization event.

- **Patrol.** SMUD crews patrol the line to look for vegetation in lines and any obvious damage that may prevent safe energization. Depending on the length of the lines, and number of circuits, the patrols can take a several hours to days to complete.
- **Repair.** During patrol, crews look for potential damage to the lines and poles. Where equipment damage is found, additional crews are dispatched with new materials to repair or replace damaged equipment. In some cases, VM crews may be called in to help clear an area of downed trees or branches that have fallen into the power lines while it was de-energized.
- **Test.** Once the lines and poles are safe to operate, crews test the infrastructure by closing the fuse, or breaker to re-energize the line segment.
- **Restore.** Power is restored and the outage communication system provides notification of power restoration to customers.

8.2 Reconstruction after a wildfire

When infrastructure is damaged during a wildfire event, a lot of work is required to plan and execute the rebuilding effort. After local police and fire officials have given SMUD clearance, SMUD work crews can proceed with the assessment and rebuilding effort.

- **Assessment.** SMUD crews must patrol each line segment to determine the extent of damage that has occurred. The patrol involves assessing equipment damage, access issues, any cleanup/debris removal issues and determining personal protective equipment requirements for the crews. SMUD works with the local agency in charge of the fire to access impacted areas as soon as the area is deemed safe by fire officials. During this phase the VM team assesses vegetation damaged by the wildfire that could impact SMUD’s facilities.
- **Planning.** After the initial assessment, SMUD supervisors, managers and engineers meet to plan the restoration. The team will work with system operations to prioritize the restoration efforts, targeting the circuits that serve the most critical infrastructure needs.
- **Mobilize.** Based on the size and complexity of the rebuild/restoration efforts, SMUD will coordinate the crews and material needs internally if possible. Mutual aid and contractors may be used on an “as needed” basis to provide additional support. VM crews will begin clearing the ROW and any dangerous trees that pose a threat to the restoration crews. SMUD maintains a critical material vendor list and has contracts it can draw on for labor and material needs; though in an instance of widespread catastrophic damage, necessary materials and labor could experience shortages that may delay work.
- **Rebuild.** The rebuild effort lead by SMUD will commence as soon as areas become safe and accessible. The lines will be rebuilt with a mix of temporary and/or permanent structures as determined during planning. The initial efforts will be to get the lines up and restore the damaged circuits. Depending on the extent of damage, demolition may be performed concurrently or after crews start installing new facilities. SMUD will incorporate new materials and technologies as indicated and available.
• **Restore.** SMUD, mutual aid, or contract crews will restore electric services to our customers as soon as possible after the wildfire. Depending on the extent of damages, customers may have to perform repairs on their facilities and pass inspections by local agencies prior to having full electric service restored. These are coordinated on an as needed basis.
9 Performance metrics and monitoring

This section identifies SMUD’s management responsibilities for overseeing this WMP and includes the operating departments and teams responsible for carrying out the various activities described in the previous chapters. This section also identifies the metrics which are used to monitor and audit the effectiveness of this WMP.

9.1 Effectiveness of the WMP

In the initial WMP, SMUD staff identified metrics that met the criteria of PUC 8387. These identified metrics were general in nature. Since those initial metric criteria were identified, the wildfire planning process has continued to develop, and SMUD has received independent evaluation of its WMP. In response to the industry’s maturing understanding of wildfire metrics and recommendations received, SMUD undertook a multi-step effort to identify new metrics that can better gauge the success of its many programs and mitigation activities outlined in the WMP.

The first step in this multi-step effort was to assess, identify and establish useful metrics that best measure the activities related to minimizing the probability that SMUD’s transmission and distribution system may be the origin or contributing source for the ignition of a wildfire. Metrics identified in this section are measures of quantitative assessment that will be used for assessing, comparing, and tracking performance of the programs and efforts identified in this WMP. This step was completed and reflected in the metrics identified in this WMP.

The second step is to define the benchmarks associated with the metrics. The purpose of these benchmarks is to establish criteria to measure performance of the various activities. Some activities can be measured with specific units of work that are forecasted at the beginning of a year, such as quantities of inspected units etc. Progress towards these forecasted units would indicate on- or off-track completion cadence, which can be adjusted as needed during the year. Other metrics are identified to count uncontrollable units that indicate performance of the grid, such as outage event counts or number of corrective action findings. Development of these benchmarks will require several years of data to determine trendlines and averages. Data collection for the new metrics began in 2021. Following existing practices, SMUD anticipates five years of data will be required to establish the benchmarks, with a target period in 2026.

The third and final step is to determine or define the percentage reduction targets against the benchmarks. Percent reductions against benchmarks would need to be realistic, and not easily achievable. SMUD anticipates the initial benchmarks would require fine adjustments periodically to ensure continued effort towards risk reduction activities. These benchmarks and adjustments will be reflected in SMUD’s annual WMP updates.

9.1.1 Metrics and assumptions for measuring WMP performance

SMUD will track the following metrics to measure the performance of this WMP, and its effectiveness in reducing catastrophic wildfire. These new set of metrics are more granular and targeted towards specific maintenance activities that can more closely be tied to performance of the WMP.

Work is identified in annual work plans authorized on an executive level, and work that remains incomplete will be flagged in future work plans. Work may be field-verified and open work notifications are regularly reviewed to allow management to prioritize work in accordance with current risks. SMUD’s target is always to complete 100 percent of the work within the initially scheduled time frame. However, emergencies or other unforeseen contingencies can occur that require material and labor resources to be otherwise assigned. In this instance delayed work will be prioritized in following time periods. All work is completed within time periods to allow for the safe and reliable operation of the electric system in accordance with applicable requirements and industry standards.
The Inspection Program Performance metrics shown in Table 8 are based on inspection activities for targeted areas. These are key performance indicators (KPI) based metrics, with specific targets for completion within a year.

**Table 8 Inspection Program Performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Program Performance (KPI)</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of poles inspected from DLI, Distribution, PCA</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of structures inspected from Patrol, Transmission, PCA</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of structures inspected from Patrol, Transmission, HFTD Tier 3</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of circuit miles inspected for vegetation compliance, Distribution, HFTD Tier 2</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of circuit miles inspected for vegetation compliance, Transmission, PCA</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of circuit miles inspected for vegetation compliance, Transmission, HFTD Tier 3</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of aerial Flight Patrols, Visual, UARP</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of aerial Flight Patrols, Infrared, UARP</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of aerial Flight Patrols, 12kV, Infrared, PCA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM Quality Control for Transmission, UARP</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of trees trimmed or removed, normal activities, UARP</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.1.2 Outcome Metrics
Two sets of outcome metrics were identified that measure performance of the grid. These metrics replace the more general “ignition events” identified in previous WMPs, which couldn’t directly be tied to risk categories. The outcome metrics shown in Table 9 are consistent with GO95 Rule 18\textsuperscript{11} repair priority levels.

Table 9 Grid Condition Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Condition Findings (Non KPI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 1 findings, Distribution, PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 1 findings, Distribution, HFTD Tier 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 1 findings, Distribution, HFTD Tier 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 1 findings, Transmission, PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 1 findings, Transmission, HFTD Tier 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 1 findings, Transmission, HFTD Tier 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 2 findings, Distribution, PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 2 findings, Distribution, HFTD Tier 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 2 findings, Distribution, HFTD Tier 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 2 findings, Transmission, PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 2 findings, Transmission, HFTD Tier 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 2 findings, Transmission, HFTD Tier 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 3 findings, Distribution, PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 3 findings, Distribution, HFTD Tier 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 3 findings, Distribution, HFTD Tier 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 3 findings, Transmission, PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 3 findings, Transmission, HFTD Tier 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GO95 Rule 18 Level 3 findings, Transmission, HFTD Tier 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second set of outcome metrics are a measure of the ignition drivers during fire season, shown in Table 10.

Table 10 Drivers of Ignitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers of Ignitions, fire season only (Non KPI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of wire downs, inside PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Overhead Outage Events caused by animals, inside PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Overhead Outage Events caused by foreign material, inside PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Overhead Outage Events caused by Vegetation - Tree Preventable, inside PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Overhead Outage Events caused by Vegetation - Tree Non-Preventable, inside PCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{11} https://ia.cpuc.ca.gov/gos/Resmajor/DesNo09-08-029/GO95/DesNo09-08-029-Rule\%2018.htm
9.1.3 Enhancement Projects

Once a project or program is approved, it is planned for execution based on the upcoming year’s work schedule. The targets listed here for the approved projects are monitored via milestone achievements.

Table 11 System enhancement capital project performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project (KPI)</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of poles completed, PCA, Hardware Replacement</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit feet completed, PCA, #6CU Reconductor</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units of trees pruned or removed, Wildfire Mitigation Vegetation Management work, UARP</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of SCADA reclosers installed, PCA</td>
<td>&gt;=95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.1.4 Community Outreach Measures

SMUD reaches out to customers, local communities, and government agencies for multiple programs. Metrics were developed specific to wildfire mitigation efforts and communication. The various type of community outreach measures is shown in Table 12.

Table 12 Community Outreach Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Outreach Programs (non KPI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of contacts with Federal, State and Local Govt offices, specific to wildfire or de-energization related contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mailers sent to customers related to Wildfire Mitigation Activities, Email, MED rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mailers sent to customers related to Wildfire Mitigation Activities, Email, Senior ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mailers sent to customers related to Wildfire Mitigation Activities, Direct Mail, MED rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mailers sent to customers related to Wildfire Mitigation Activities, Direct Mail, Customer Connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2 Monitoring and auditing of the WMP

The WMP will be reviewed annually. This annual review will align with SMUD’s existing business planning process. This review will include an assessment of the WMP programs and performance. SMUD’s business planning process includes budgeting and strategic planning for a 3-5-year planning horizon.

9.2.1 Identify deficiencies in the WMP

At any point in time when deficiencies are identified, the COO or their delegates are responsible for correcting the deficiencies.

9.2.2 Written processes and procedures

The operational areas conduct their work according to written processes and procedures. Processes and procedures are developed with contributions by specific teams and updated when a need arises. Having written processes and procedures provides for consistency in the execution of programs and activities while enabling employee safety.

9.2.3 Monitor and audit the effectiveness of inspections

SMUD has existing quality control processes embedded into its existing general practice. However, for certain programs, there is a formal quality control process. The following depicts a few of these programs.
9.2.3.1 Distribution system inspections

SMUD’s maintenance planning group manages T&D line and substation assets. A key component in managing assets is the development of comprehensive inspection and maintenance programs. The maintenance planning group develops inspection and maintenance programs driven by the need to ensure the safe operation of T&D line and substation facilities, reduce risk of power-related wildfire, meet federal and state regulatory requirements, achieve reliability performance within mandated limits and optimize capital and operations & maintenance (O&M) investments. In addition, this group regularly monitors inspection and corrective maintenance records, as well as diagnostic test results to adjust maintenance plans and develop new programs. SMUD uses best industry practices in developing its maintenance plans.

SMUD’s inspection and maintenance programs focus on the following objectives:

- Ensure employee and public safety
- Minimize risk of wildfire posed by power lines and equipment
- Maintain regulatory and SMUD policy compliance
- Improve the availability and reliability of the system
- Employ industry best practices
- Extend the useful life of equipment
- Minimize the total cost of equipment ownership

The maintenance planning group develops and issues annual inspection work plans during the last quarter of the current year for the following year, which are maintained in SMUD’s Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) system.

SMUD’s Grid Assets Department is responsible for performing the inspections and corrective maintenance. When deficiencies in SMUD facilities are identified, corrective maintenance notifications are created in SAP. The priority for corrective maintenance is to remove safety hazards immediately and repair deficiencies according to the type of deficiency, severity and HFTD tiers. Inspection notifications are monitored throughout the year to ensure timely completion via regular internal reports using SAP data. Enterprise applications are used to deploy, visualize, and validate work based on business rules. These applications provide the visibility and monitoring of work required to make informed decisions and to achieve compliance with our inspection and maintenance programs.

9.2.3.2 Vegetation management (VM)

SMUD’s vegetation pruning/removal activities are performed by contractors. The contractors are quality audited by SMUD (VM) personnel. Distribution system related work and contractors are field audited and approximately 7% of the tree work (pruning and removal) is reviewed. This quality assurance (QA) effort is tracked to monitor program effectiveness and overall tree work performance. For transmission, SMUD VM staff perform a quality control (QC) audit of 100% of the transmission system related maintenance work performed by the contractor. For both T&D QC efforts all deficiencies are reissued to the contractor management team and corrective action is required.

9.2.4 Internal audit

SMUD’s internal audit department, known as Audit and Quality Services (AQS) provides independent, objective assurance and consulting services to the Board of Directors and management designed to add value and improve SMUD’s operations. The AQS mission is to enhance and protect organizational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance advice and insight.

The work of AQS provides reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following areas:

- Adherence to plans, policies and procedures
- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations
- Effectiveness and application of administrative and financial controls
- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations
- Reliability of data
- Safeguarding assets
- Accuracy of the SD monitoring reports

As part of AQS’ process to develop its annual audit plan, AQS considers all enterprise risks and performs audits over a selection of processes across electric T&D as well as substation assets on a periodic basis.
Appendix
This section contains supporting information to the document.

10.1 Definitions

**Distribution System Operations (DSO):** SMUD’s DSO personnel is responsible for directing the safe and reliable operation of SMUD’s distribution system while operating within current policies and procedures during normal and emergency situations. Distribution system operators prepare, check, and administer the execution of safe and reliable switching procedures. DSO will monitor and maintain equipment loading levels to prevent damage to equipment. This group is also responsible for updating outage information timely and accurately so that information can be provided to internal and external customers.

**Fire Hazard:** “Hazard” is based on the physical conditions that give a likelihood that an area will burn over a 30 to 50-year period without considering modifications such as fuel reduction efforts.

**Fire Risk:** “Risk” is the potential damage a fire can do to the area under existing conditions, including any modifications such as defensible space, irrigation and sprinklers and ignition resistant building construction which can reduce fire risk. Risk considers the susceptibility of what is being protected.

**Hardening:** Modifications to electric infrastructure to reduce the likelihood of ignition and improve the survivability of electrical assets.

**High Fire Threat District (HFTD):** The HFTD identifies areas of elevated and extreme fire risk related to electric utility facilities. These areas are reflected in a map adopted by the CPUC after an extensive public process. It is a composite of two maps:

1. **Tier 1 High Hazard Zones (HHZs):** on the U.S. Forest Service - CAL FIRE joint map of Tree Mortality HHZs (“Tree Mortality HHZ Map”). Tier 1 HHZs are zones in direct proximity to communities, roads, and utility lines and are a direct threat to public safety.
2. **Tier 2 and Tier 3 fire-threat areas:** on the CPUC Fire Threat Map. Tier 2 fire-threat areas depict areas where there is an elevated risk (including likelihood and potential impacts on people and property) from utility associated wildfires. Tier 3 fire-threat areas depict areas where there is an extreme risk (including likelihood and potential impacts on people and property) from utility associated wildfires.

**Pole Clearing Area (PCA):** SMUD defined area where poles with non-exempt equipment have annual vegetation clearing and/or pruning within a 10-foot radius in compliance with PRC 4292 prior to the start of fire season, currently May 1 of each year. The custom defined PCA boundary includes SRA boundary and adjacent areas with similar vegetation, and portions of a Local Responsibility Area (LRA) in the southern part of Sacramento County. This boundary area exceeds the current SRA boundary due to similar vegetation and risk of ignition. Overhead electrical facilities crossing into and within the boundary of the PCA fall under special operating conditions and fall under enhanced maintenance programs.

**Power System Operations (PSO):** SMUD’s PSO personnel analyze, direct, monitor, control and/or operate SMUD’s gas pipelines and electric generation and transmission systems and associated facilities in a safe, reliable, and efficient manner during routine and emergency situations. This position has the responsibility and authority to support and implement real-time actions.

**Red Flag Warning (RFW):** A term used by fire-weather forecasters to call attention to limited weather conditions of particular importance that may result in extreme burning conditions. It is issued when it is an on-

---


going event, or the fire weather forecaster has a high degree of confidence that Red Flag criteria will occur within 24 hours of issuance. Red Flag criteria occurs whenever a geographical area has been in a dry spell for a week or two, or for a shorter period, if before spring green-up or after fall color, and the National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS) is high to extreme and the following forecast weather parameters are forecasted to be met:

- A sustained wind average 15 mph or greater
- Relative humidity less than or equal to 25 percent; and
- A temperature of greater than 75 degrees F

In some states, dry lightning and unstable air are criteria. A Fire Weather Watch may be issued prior to the RFW.

State Responsibility Area (SRA): “The California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection classify areas in which the primary financial responsibility for preventing and suppressing fires is that of the state. California Department of Forestry (CDF) has SRA responsibility for the protection of over 31 million acres of California’s privately-owned wildlands.”

Transmission and Distribution (T&D): At SMUD, for line maintenance purposes, the transmission system includes 230 kV, 115 kV, and dedicated 12 kV, 21 kV and 69 kV lines tying generation facilities to bulk or transmission substations. The distribution system includes 69 kV, 21 kV, 12 kV, and 4 kV lines serving distribution substations and customers.

Wildfire: An unplanned, unwanted fire in an area in which development is essentially non-existent, except for roads, railroads, powerlines, and similar transportation facilities and structures, if any, are widely scattered (“wildland”), including unauthorized human-caused fires, escaped wildland fire use events, escaped prescribed fire projects, and all other wildland fires where the objective is to put the fire out.

10.2 References

- Public Utilities Code, Chapter 6. Wildfire Mitigation [8387], http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=8387&lawCode=PUC
- General Order 95 contains rules for the design, construction, maintenance, inspection, repair, and replacement of overhead utility lines. http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M209/K464/209464026.pdf
- General Order 165, Inspection Requirements for Electric Distribution and Transmission Facilities. http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M209/K552/209552704.pdf
- General Order 166, Standards for Operation, Reliability and Safety During Emergencies and Disasters http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M209/K451/209451792.pdf
- General Order 174, Rules for Electric Utility Substations http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M031/K879/31879476.PDF

15 Source: https://www.nwcg.gov/term/glossary/wildfire
16 SMUD is not subject to CPUC jurisdiction, but has developed design standards, and maintenance programs that meet or exceed the standards in GO 95, GO 128, GO 165, GO 166, and GO 174.
10.3 Acronym glossary

AAM (After-Action Meeting)
AAR (After-Action Report)
AB (Assembly Bill)
AEU (Amador-El Dorado Unit)
AI (Artificial Intelligence)
ANSI (American National Standards Institute)
AQS (Audit and Quality Services)
CAISO (California Independent System Operator)
CAL FIRE (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection)
CalOES (California Governor’s Office of Emergency Services)
CDF (California Department of Forestry)
COO (Chief Operating Officer)
CPUC (California Public Utilities Commission)
CUEA (California Utilities Emergency Association)
DLI (Detailed Line Inspections)
DSO (Distribution System Operations)
EAM (Enterprise Asset Management)
EOC (Emergency Operations Center)
EOP (Emergency Operations Plan)
EP (Emergency Preparedness)
ERM (Enterprise Risk Management)
EROC (Enterprise Risk Oversight Committee)
FAC (Facilities Design, Connections and Maintenance)
FHSZ (Fire Hazard Severity Zone)
FRAP (Fire Resource and Assessment Program)
GHG (Greenhouse gas)
GIS (Geographic Information System)
GO (General Order)
HFTD (High Fire Threat Districts)
HHZ (High Hazard Zone)
HSEEP (Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program)
ID (Identification)
IOU (Investor-owned Utility)
IP (Improvement Plan)
IR (Infrared)
IVM (Integrated Vegetation Management)
IVR (Interactive Voice Response)
kV (Kilovolt)
kWH (Kilowatt Hours)
LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging)
LRA (Local Responsible Area)
MED (Medical Equipment Discount)
MVCD (minimum vegetation clearance distance)
MW (Mega Watts)
NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration)
NFDRS (National Fire Danger Rating System)
O&M (Operations & Maintenance)
OES (Office of Emergency Services’)
PCA (Pole Clearing Area)
PG&E (Pacific Gas & Electric)
PRC (Public Resources Code)
PSO (Power System Operations)
PSPS (Public Safety Power Shutoff)
PUC (Public Utilities Code)
QA (Quality Assurance)
QC (Quality Control)
QIE (Qualified Independent Evaluator)
RFW (Red Flag Warning)
ROW (rights-of-way)
SAP (Systems Applications and Products)
SB (Senate Bill)
SD (Strategic Direction)
SEMS (Standardized Emergency Management System)
SME (Subject Matter Expert)
SOC (State Operations Center)
SRA (State Responsibility Area)
T&D (Transmission and Distribution)
TTX (Tabletop Exercise)
UIARP (Upper American River Project)
VM (Vegetation Management)
WAPA (Western Area Power Administration)
WMP (Wildfire Mitigation Plan)
WSAB (Wildfire Safety Advisory Board)
WUI (Wildland-Urban Interface)
10.4 Reference for SMUD plans

10.4.1 SMUD’s Pole Clearing Area Map

Figure 7 SMUD’s Pole Clearing Area with respect to SMUD’s service area boundary
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Executive Summary

Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) contracted with Guidehouse Inc. (Guidehouse) to engage in an independent evaluation of its Wildfire Mitigation Plan (Plan or WMP). This independent evaluation report (Report) describes the technical review and evaluation provided by Guidehouse. Guidehouse performed this evaluation in May 2023 and finalized the Report on May 30, 2023. Guidehouse’s project team reviewed detailed information related to the Plan and assessed SMUD’s procedures related to the Plan.

The Plan was prepared as a response to Senate Bill (SB) 901. SB 901 included a number of provisions and directives, among which includes the requirement for electric utilities to prepare and adopt WMPs and revise and update the Plan annually thereafter. These requirements are codified in the California Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 8387 for publicly owned utilities (POUs).

Guidehouse evaluated the Plan based on the statutory requirements of PUC Section 8387 as it relates to POUs. This PUC Section was amended in 2019 with the signing of California’s Assembly Bill (AB) 1054 into law. The POUs are now subject to the guidance provided by the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board and mandatory cyclical reviews, including a comprehensive update every three years. The required elements for a WMP have not been modified by this new legislation. This Report meets SMUD’s requirements under PUC Section 8387(c), which mandates an independent evaluation of SMUD’s WMP. The Report was also developed to satisfy the statutory requirement for public review. This Report underlies the required presentation at a public meeting of the SMUD Board on June 14, 2023, for comments before and approval. The Report includes the following:

- Background of the legislative history requiring WMPs and their independent evaluations
- Approach and methodology evaluating the WMP’s comprehensiveness
- SMUD’s WMP elements and their compliance with SB 901 and PUC Section 8387 WMP elements and directives
- An evaluation of the WMP’s presented metrics to assess the effectiveness of the overall WMP
- Determinations and results

Based on relevant experience in grid hardening and resiliency, natural disaster response, prior experience in WMP development, and active tracking of wildfire legislative and regulatory proceedings, Guidehouse has concluded that SMUD’s WMP is comprehensive in accordance with PUC section 8387.
1. Background

In recent years, California has seen numerous utility equipment-involved, catastrophic wildfires. The unique geographic profile of California and the impacts of climate change, including prolonged drought, high winds, and elevated temperatures, have led to elongated fire seasons. The state also has historically high levels of past fire suppression efforts. This increasingly abundant dry vegetation is the leading driver of wildfires. These fuel-rich environments, coupled with intensified climatological conditions with high wind gusts and inherent electrical infrastructure risks, produce the conditions conducive to potential wildfire ignition. The three attributes that provide optimal conditions for a fire ignition are illustrated through the graphic in Figure 1-1.

![Figure 1-1 – Fire Triangle](image)

Disastrous wildfire threat is a well-known and shared priority among electric utilities in California. Disastrous utility-involved wildfire incidents and the significant financial and livelihood impacts associated with them led California legislators and regulators to formalize requirements to ensure safe operations of electric utility equipment and greater investment in wildfire mitigation efforts. Specifically, the state has approved legislation that strengthens governmental and regulatory oversight of wildfire prevention implementation activities, utility wildfire mitigation plans, and proper dispersal of state funds to wildfire victims. In an effort to minimize future devastating occurrences through risk-driven wildfire prevention, electric utilities, including cooperatives, were mandated, by SB 901 (Senator Bill Dodd, 2018), to prepare and annually adopt a WMP. This effort is foundational to the state’s prioritized goal of minimizing the potential of devastating fires in future years.

1.1 Wildfire Mitigation Plans

1.1.1 SB 901

In an effort to minimize future devastating occurrences through risk-driven wildfire prevention, electric utilities, including publicly owned utilities (POUs), were mandated, by Senate Bill (SB) 901 to prepare and annually adopt a WMP. The WMPs must include several mitigation and response elements in each utility’s strategies, protocols, and programs. The requirements for POUs are codified in Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 8387. Details relating to POU requirements are discussed in Section 2 of this WMP evaluation report (Report).
1.1.2 AB 1054 Statutory Modifications

In 2019, Assembly Bill (AB) 1054 was signed into law, modifying the requirements for POU WMPs. AB 1054 aims to mitigate the intensity of wildfire impacts through several initiatives separate from those actions required of electric utilities. AB 1054 includes directives to establish the Wildfire Safety Division\(^1\) at the California Public Utilities Commission and the state’s Wildfire Safety Advisory Board (WSAB). AB 1054 requires POUs submit their WMPs by July 1 of each year for review by and recommendations from WSAB and requires POUs to comprehensively update their WMPs at least every three years. The most recent *Guidance Advisory Opinion for 2022 POU WMPs* was published on March 2, 2022.

1.2 Sacramento Municipal Utility District Plan Preparation

SMUD is headquartered in Sacramento, California and owns and operates an electric system that has provided retail electric service since 1946. Its territory resides within a 900-mile area and it serves a population of about 1.5 million people. SMUD is a publicly owned utility that is governed by a seven-member popularly elected Board of Directors. SMUD owns a vertically integrated electric system which includes generation, transmission, and distribution facilities.

SMUD prepared its first WMP pursuant to SB 901 directives in 2019. In 2019, SMUD conducted extensive stakeholder outreach during its preparation of the initial WMP including meeting with local fire agencies and fire safe councils, Office of Emergency Services, and healthcare organizations. In addition, SMUD invited federal, state, and local agencies, representatives of utilities, telecommunication providers, and critical care customers to attend stakeholder outreach meetings where information regarding the preparation and contents of the WMP were provided. Updates to the plan are presented to and adopted by the Board at a noticed public meeting annually.

1.2.1 Independent Evaluation Services

PUC Section 8387(c) directs POUs to procure an independent evaluation (IE) of the comprehensiveness of the WMP. The provisions of PUC Section 8387 state that the “qualified independent evaluator” shall be experienced in “assessing the safe operation of electrical infrastructure” and will perform an assessment to determine the comprehensiveness of the WMP.

Accordingly, SMUD sought IE services to assess the comprehensiveness of its WMP pursuant to PUC Section 8387(c). SMUD selected Guidehouse to perform this assessment based on Guidehouse’s prior experience with assessing the safe operation of electrical infrastructure, including grid-hardening and WMPs, with an emphasis on electrical equipment, public, and personnel safety. Guidehouse has conducted over 12 independent evaluations of POUs across California and is a California Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety (“Energy Safety”) designated qualified independent evaluator for the last two years, and as such has conducted six independent evaluations of three CA IOUs.

This Report presents the results of Guidehouse’s WMP IE.

---

\(^1\) Oversight and responsibility for the Wildfire Safety Division was transferred from the California Public Utilities Commission to the California Natural Resources Agency on July 1, 2021 and is now known as the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety.
2. Evaluation Scope and Approach

Guidehouse completed this evaluation based on industry standard practices, our experience performing independent evaluations of WMPs, our active tracking of wildfire regulatory proceedings, WSAB guidance, and, most importantly, a comparison of the specific criteria in PUC Section 8387(b)(2) to the specific wildfire-related plans outlined in SMUD’s WMP.

2.1 Evaluation Parameters

2.1.1 WMP Requirements

Table 2-1 lists the requirements for the statutory requirements for POUs to address in their WMPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUC Section 8387</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Each local publicly owned electric utility and electrical cooperative shall construct, maintain, and operate its electrical lines and equipment in a manner that will minimize the risk of wildfire posed by those electrical lines and equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (1) The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall, before January 1, 2020, prepare a wildfire mitigation plan. After January 1, 2020, a local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall prepare a wildfire mitigation plan annually and shall submit the plan to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board on or before July 1 of that calendar year. Each local publicly owned electric utility and electrical cooperative shall update its plan annually and submit the update to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board by July 1 of each year. At least once every three years, the submission shall be a comprehensive revision of the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The wildfire mitigation plan shall consider as necessary, at minimum, all of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) An accounting of the responsibilities of persons responsible for executing the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) The objectives of the wildfire mitigation plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) A description of the preventive strategies and programs to be adopted by the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative to minimize the risk of its electrical lines and equipment causing catastrophic wildfires, including consideration of dynamic climate change risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) A description of the metrics the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative plans to use to evaluate the wildfire mitigation plan’s performance and the assumptions that underlie the use of those metrics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) A discussion of how the application of previously identified metrics to previous wildfire mitigation plan performances has informed the wildfire mitigation plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) Protocols for disabling reclosers and deenergizing portions of the electrical distribution system that consider the associated impacts on public safety, as well as protocols related to mitigating the public safety impacts of those protocols, including impacts on critical first responders and on health and communication infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G) Appropriate and feasible procedures for notifying a customer who may be impacted by the deenergizing of electrical lines. The procedures shall consider the need to notify, as a priority, critical first responders, health care facilities, and operators of telecommunications infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H) Plans for vegetation management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I) Plans for inspections of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s electrical infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(J) A list that identifies, describes, and prioritizes all wildfire risks, and drivers for those risks, throughout the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory. The list shall include, but not be limited to, both of the following:

(i) Risks and risk drivers associated with design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s equipment and facilities.

(ii) Particular risks and risk drivers associated with topographic and climatological risk factors throughout the different parts of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory.

(K) Identification of any geographic area in the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory that is a higher wildfire threat than is identified in a commission fire threat map, and identification of where the commission should expand a high fire-threat district based on new information or changes to the environment.

(L) A methodology for identifying and presenting enterprise-wide safety risk and wildfire-related risk.

(M) A statement of how the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative will restore service after a wildfire.

(N) A description of the processes and procedures the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall use to do all of the following:

(i) Monitor and audit the implementation of the wildfire mitigation plan.

(ii) Identify any deficiencies in the wildfire mitigation plan or its implementation, and correct those deficiencies.

(iii) Monitor and audit the effectiveness of electrical line and equipment inspections, including inspections performed by contractors, that are carried out under the plan, other applicable statutes, or commission rules.

(3) The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall, on or before January 1, 2020, and not less than annually thereafter, present its wildfire mitigation plan in an appropriately noticed public meeting. The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall accept comments on its wildfire mitigation plan from the public, other local and state agencies, and interested parties, and shall verify that the wildfire mitigation plan complies with all applicable rules, regulations, and standards, as appropriate.

(c) The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall contract with a qualified independent evaluator with experience in assessing the safe operation of electrical infrastructure to review and assess the comprehensiveness of its wildfire mitigation plan. The independent evaluator shall issue a report that shall be made available on the internet website of the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative, and shall present the report at a public meeting of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s governing board.

2.1.2 Industry Knowledge and Regulatory Proceedings

The state’s priority towards abating future catastrophic wildfire events is demonstrated through aggressive measures, directing utilities to enhance their protocols for fire prevention, public communications, and response. That collection of information is presented in a comprehensive WMP. Guidehouse tracks state proceedings and routinely advises, assesses, and guides utility wildfire mitigation efforts. Accordingly, we reviewed SMUD’s WMP against the provisions in PUC § 8387 and relative to its risk profile which includes, but is not limited to, its topography, climate, assets, and structure.

2.2 Evaluation Approach

Guidehouse assessed the comprehensiveness of the plan against the applicable regulations to determine whether SMUD meets the standard set forth in PUC § 8387(c).
2.2.1 Statutory Compliance

Guidehouse sought to determine compliance with the provisional requirements laid out in SB901 as codified in PUC Section 8387. The WMP’s alignment with the statutory requirement is presented in Appendix A. SMUD’s mitigation measures are not required to exceed the statutory requirements.

2.2.2 Industry Wildfire Mitigation Practices Comparison

Guidehouse’s understanding of an effective WMP draws on comparisons from existing WMPs and industry practices, WSAB guidance, risk profile, and mitigation strategy. This mitigation strategy analysis is visually summarized in Figure 2-1.

![Figure 2-1 – Mitigation Strategy Overview](image)

These critical elements are evaluated as part of Guidehouse’s review of the comprehensiveness of SMUD’s WMP. This evaluation includes a consideration that not all of these strategies are necessarily present in or applicable to SMUD’s WMP, due to SMUD’s inherent risk, size, location, and operational characteristics.
3. SMUD WMP Elements

Guidehouse reviewed the WMP elements and determined whether the activities achieve the objective of WMP “comprehensiveness” of PUC Section 8387. This determination incorporates individual elements as well as underlying data sources that further describe data collection methodologies and implementation procedures to ensure measures are carried out and also tracked.

Guidehouse determined SMUD’s WMP meets the requirement of comprehensiveness in PUC Section 8387. In this section, we review the WMP’s elements and their purpose relative to the development and successful execution of the WMP. A table comparing each subsection of PUC Section 8387 to the significant sections of the WMP can be found in Appendix A.

3.1 Responsibilities of Persons Responsible for Executing the Plan

Section 2.5 states that SMUD’s Chief Operating Officer and Chief Customer Officer are responsible for executing the various components of the WMP. The table below is in Section 2.5.1 and lists the Director within SMUD with responsibility for the department or workgroup that are accountable for the listed components of SMUD’s WMP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation Activities</th>
<th>Responsible Department and Workgroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire threat assessment in service area and</td>
<td>Director, Treasury Operations &amp; Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire prevention strategy and programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Disable automatic reclosing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director, Line Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vegetation management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pole clearing program</td>
<td>Director, Line Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire mitigation construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Natural Ester-based fluid</td>
<td>Director, Distribution Planning &amp; Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cal FIRE exempt equipment in PCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Weather stations</td>
<td>Director, Transmission Planning &amp; Operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 PUC Section 8387(2)(A)
3.2 Objectives of the Plan\(^3\)

The primary objectives of this WMP, are described in Section 2.4 of the WMP and include:

1. Minimize the probability that SMUD's transmission and Distribution system may be the origin or contributing source for the ignition of the wildfire
2. Implement a wildfire mitigation plan that embraces safety, prevention, mitigation and recovery as a central priority for SMUD
3. Create a WMP that is consistent with state law and objectives.

3.3 Wildfire Prevention Strategies\(^4\)

Section 3 provides an overview of SMUD's preventative strategies and programs to minimize the risk of electrical lines and equipment causing a catastrophic wildfire. Table 4 of the WMP provides a summary of SMUD's programs and activities that support wildfire prevention and mitigation.

The five major categories included in Table 4 of the WMP are:

- Design and construction
- Inspection and maintenance
- Operational practice
- Situational/conditional awareness
- Response and recovery

These categories are elaborated on in detail in Sections 6, 7, and 8 of the WMP. Guidehouse agrees with the WSAB that SMUD has “exemplary description of comprehensive wildfire mitigation strategies in their 2022 WMP”.

---

\(^3\) PUC Section 8387(2)(B)
\(^4\) PUC Section 8387(2)(C)
3.4 Metrics\(^5\)

SMUD describes several metrics to assess the performance of the WMP and its effectiveness in reducing catastrophic wildfire in Section 9. These metrics are tied to more granular and specific maintenance activities that SMUD has determined are more closely tied to WMP performance. The types of metrics include Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) which measure inspection program performance, grid condition findings, drivers of ignition, project completion and community outreach programs. These are defined in Tables 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the WMP.

The WMP also discusses the process used by SMUD to identify and establish metrics and benchmarks to minimize risk of wildfire ignition from SMUD assets at the transmission and distribution level. The WMP also discusses the process to determine realistic percentage reduction targets against the determined benchmarks.

Guidehouse agrees with the WSAB that SMUD’s metrics are an excellent selection of comprehensive tracking metrics to assess progress on mitigation of wildfire risks.

3.5 Disabling Reclosers\(^6\)

Sections 6.1.1 and 6.2.1 detail the procedures for operations of reclosers. According to the WMP, SMUD changes their distribution recloser operations during fire season which it defines as May 1 to October 1 or any time RFW is in effect for areas inside of or surrounding the Pole Clearing Ares (PCA). During this time, SMUD disables automatic reclosing on certain substations and line reclosers extending into the PCA. In cases where automatic reclosing cannot be disabled, line reclosers will be bypassed and fuses at the end of the line will provide protection. SMUD recognizes that with climate change, the dry summer season extends further into the year, and as a result, SMUD disables reclosing until the first major rain event within the service territory following the end of the summer period. In addition, reclosing on the transmission system is disabled throughout the entire year.

3.6 De-Energizing Protocols\(^7\)

Section 6.1.2 details the planned de-energization during fire season for SMUD’s distribution system. SMUD’s Distribution System Operations (DSO) personnel have the authority to de-energize select distribution circuits in the PCA. DSO relies on weather data from various sources and SMUD’s internal Energy Management System to make this determination. SMUD includes a list of triggers for de-energization for PCA circuits in their WMP.

Section 6.2.2 describes planned de-energization for SMUD’s transmission grid. SMUD’s Power System Operators (PSO) have the authority to de-energize portions or all the Valley and UARP transmission line(s) for safety, reliability, conditions beyond design criteria, threat of wildfires and during emergency conditions when requested by local law enforcement or fire officials. The PSO will take a combination of many factors into consideration when implementing de-energization procedures, which include triggers listed in Section 6.2.2. The PSO also utilizes

---

\(^5\) PUC Section 8387(2)(D) and PUC Section 8387(2)(E)

\(^6\) PUC Section 8387(2)(F)

\(^7\) PUC Section 8387(2)(F)
operational and situational awareness tools to determine if de-energization is appropriate that are also included in Section 6.2.2.

3.7 Event Communication

Section 7.2 of the WMP describes event communication. The WMP states that SMUD will communicate to key stakeholders such as impacted federal, state, and local officials, City and County executive staff, tribal representatives, and first responders through a variety of channels. SMUD has specific personnel for each specific stakeholder and critical customers potentially affected by a de-energization event.

Section 7.2.1 describes communication to customers for a de-energization event. The WMP states that SMUD will send automated pre-recorded phone call to customers in the impacted areas which directs them to SMUD’s website for up-to-date information.

3.8 Vegetation Management

Section 6.4 of the WMP details SMUD’s Vegetation Management (VM) plan which takes place on the transmission and distribution system in the Valley and the UARP. The section describes how SMUD’s program meets federal and state regulations including Public Resources Codes section 4292 and 4293 and the North American Electric Reliability Corporation’s FAC-003-5 Transmission Vegetation Management reliability standard. The program also incorporates the standards in CPUC GO 95 Rule 35. In the PCA, poles with non-exempt equipment have annual vegetation clearing and/or pruning within a 10-foot radius in compliance with PRC 4292 prior to the start of fire season.

On the distribution system, SMUD conducts time-based trimming on one-, two- or three-year intervals using ground-based inspections. These are described in Section 6.4.1. SMUD uses a contractor to complete identified vegetation work and utilizes a 15-foot clearance on areas within SMUD’s service area and 30+ feet of clearance in the HFTD tiers 2 and 3 at the time of tree work.

On the transmission system, SMUD conducts annual ground-based field patrols which are described in section 6.4.2. These patrols are traditional, ground-based inspections of tree and conductor clearance as well as hazard tree identification. Once identified, contractors will complete the VM planners’ scope of work to achieve safety clearance. SMUD also complete two annual aerial patrols in El Dorado County to address ongoing challenge of tree mortality due to drought and insects.

3.9 Infrastructure Inspections

Section 6.3 contains SMUD’s infrastructure inspections and maintenance information. The WMP describes inspection practices for both transmission and distribution level circuits. The section states that SMUD’s transmission lines are grouped into two inspection areas. These are the UARP region which is all lines east of Folsom to the hydroelectric facilities in the Sierra and the Valley region which contains all transmission lines in SMUD’s service territory. Sections 6.3.1.1

---

8 PUC Section 8387(2)(G)
9 PUC Section 8387(2)(H)
10 PUC Section 8387(2)(I)
through 6.3.1.4 of SMUD’s WMP describe various types of transmission inspections which include aerial patrols, ground patrols, infrared (IR) inspections and wood pole intrusive inspections. Aerial patrols are performed in helicopters once per year in the Valley area and twice per year in the UARP. Ground patrols are performed by either walking or driving and occasionally include IR inspections. These occur once per year in UARP and once per two years in the Valley area. IR inspections are performed as part of one of the helicopter patrols. In these inspections an IR camera is used to identify hot spots on the transmission equipment. These occur annually on the UARP and every two years in the Valley. Wood pole intrusive inspections are performed using more sophisticated diagnostic tools and include taking a sample for analysis. These occur at a minimum cycle of once per 10 years and a maximum cycle of once per 14 years.

Distribution line inspections are described in Section 6.3.2. The distribution line inspections include detailed line inspections, line patrols, 69kV and pole clearing area 12kV IR inspections, wood pole intrusive inspections. Detailed line inspections are performed by walking and driving down distribution circuits. Each pole is part of a detailed visual inspection to check for damage and condition of equipment. These occur every five years on all overhead and pad mounted equipment and once per three years on underground equipment. Line patrols are performed annually on all distribution lines and equipment and check for any obvious signs of defects, vegetation clearance issues or damage to equipment. 69kV and pole clearing area 12kV IR inspections are performed via helicopter using an IR camera. These inspections are performed every other year in the Valley area. Wood pole intrusive inspections follow the same criteria as transmission poles. As stated above, these are performed once per 10 to 14 years.

3.10 Risk Assessment and Drivers

Section 4 of SMUD’s WMP describes SMUD’s risk assessment process and risk drivers. SMUD utilizes its existing ERM framework which considers both quantitative and qualitative factors to determine inherent and residual risk. When performing risk evaluation, SMUD’s Enterprise Risk Oversight Committee oversees the process which is made up of a five-step process:

1. Identify
2. Analyze
3. Plan and Evaluate
4. Respond
5. Monitor and review

These steps were performed to during the risk assessment process for wildfire events. During the process, SMUD consulted subject matter experts to aid in developing key risk drivers and impacts for the WMP. From this process, SMUD determined four categories for potential fire risk drivers that could cause powerline sparks and ignitions. SMUD’s WMP details these four drivers in Sections 4.2.1.1 through 4.2.1.4. The WMP identifies common and specific examples of risk drivers in each of the four categories. The four categories are:

- Contact from objects
- Equipment/facility failure
- Wire-to-wire contact/contamination

---

11 PUC Section 8387(2)(J)(i); PUC Section 8387(2)(J)(ii); PUC Section 8387(2)(L)
Other

In addition to identifying key risk drivers, the WMP also details SMUD’s efforts to reduce risk of powerline ignition and improve the company’s response to wildfire events. In Section 4.6, the WMP discusses actions taken since the plan’s inception aimed at reducing risk of powerline ignitions. Examples in the WMP include undergrounding of 4kV lines, installing remote de-energization of 4kV lines, and a pilot program for taking drone photos of all transmission structures in the UARP. On top of these risk reduction efforts, SMUD performs regular tabletop exercises to test, analyze and enhance the current level of SMUD’s internal and external coordination and expertise in responding to potential wildfire threats. The tabletop exercises’ operational objectives are developed to evaluate SMUD’s core response capabilities in three specific areas: (1) wildfire preparedness/mitigation, (2) emergency notification and response, and (3) short-term recovery operations and procedures. These exercises include external stakeholders such as local fire, law enforcement and emergency services serving communities.

3.11 Asset Overview and Service Territory

Section 5 contains SMUD’s asset threat overview. This section contains a description of asset categories and an inventory of SMUD’s transmission and distribution assets in CPUC HFTD tiers and assets outside of tiered areas. There is a total of 89 circuit miles of overhead transmission in Tier 2 and 55 circuit miles of overhead transmission in Tier 3. This makes up 19% and 12% of the total overhead transmission circuit miles respectively. SMUD included the table below which shows the breakdown of assets by tier area and voltage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Outside HFTD</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total OH transmission</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 &amp; 21 kV (Generation tie lines)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 kV</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115 kV</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230 kV</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total OH distribution              | 3868  | 3867         | <1     | 0      |
| Total OH T&D circuit-miles         | 4338  | 4194         | 89     | 55     |
| Total load serving substations     | 243   | 243          | 0      | 0      |

In Section 5.3, SMUD describes the “UARP” area which includes the hydroelectric project known as UARP and multiple switchyards which are connected via 69kV and 230kV lines which

---

12 PUC Section 8387(2)(K)
traverse through the Sierra Nevada Mountain Range and the CPUC’s HFTD Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas. This area is especially susceptible to wildfires due to regional climate that facilitates regular drought conditions, the natural plant communities that produce excess fuel, and the natural and anthropogenic ignition sources. Operation of SMUD’s facilities in the UARP have never been associated with the ignition of a wildfire. Figure 3-1 was included in the WMP and shows the UARP area where all SMUD’s Tier 2 and Tier 3 assets are located.

![Map of the UARP area](image)

**Figure 3-1 – UC Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas for SMUD’s UARP**

In Section 2, the WMP contains an overview of SMUD’s service territory and the map shown below.
Section 8.1 describes restoration of service for SMUD if lines are de-energized in anticipation of a wildfire threat. In order to restore service, SMUD troubleshooters and patrollers must follow the following steps: 1) Patrol, 2) Repair, 3) Test and 4) Restore. During the patrol step, SMUD crews check the line for any obvious damage or vegetation in lines that may prevent safe energization. For the repair step, crews are dispatched to repair or replace any damage found on equipment on a circuit. Vegetation management crews may be called in to clear an area as well. In the test step, crews test the circuit by closing the fuse or breaker to re-energize the line segment. In the restore step, power is restored, and the outage management system provides notification of power restoration to customers.

Section 8.2 includes information on restoration of service after a wildfire event that causes damage to the system. If infrastructure is damaged during a wildfire event and reconstruction of a line or circuit is required, a more comprehensive restoration process is needed. This process consists of the following steps: 1) Assessment, 2) Planning, 3) Mobilize, 4) Rebuild and 5) Restore. Throughout the process, local agencies in charge of the fire are worked with to access areas impacted in a safe manner. During the assessment, crews patrol each line segment to determine the extent of damage that has occurred and the actions and personal protective equipment requirements for crew. VM crews assess vegetation damage. In the planning step, SMUD personnel including supervisors, managers and engineers meet and plan the restoration. During the mobilize stage, SMUD coordinates labor and material to perform the reconstruction.
work. The next step is rebuild. In this step, lines are rebuilt with a mix of temporary and permanent structures. This step is highly dependent on the extent of the damage. Finally, the restore step takes place. SMUD will restore services to customers as soon as possible in this step.

### 3.13 Monitoring and Auditing the Plan\(^{14}\)

Section 9.2 describes the monitoring and auditing of the WMP. This audit will align with SMUD’s existing business planning process. SMUD’s business planning process includes budgeting and strategic planning for a three-to-five-year planning horizon.

### 3.14 Annual Review\(^{15}\)

Section 9.2 states that SMUD’s WMP is reviewed annually. This review includes an assessment of the WMP programs and performance.

---

\(^{14}\) PUC Section 8387(2)(N)(i) and PUC Section 8387(2)(N)(ii)

\(^{15}\) PUC Section 8387(2)(N)(iii)
4. Results and Discussion

Guidehouse finalized this assessment on May 30, 2023. Over the course of reviewing SMUD’s WMP, discussions with SMUD staff, and review of supporting documentation, Guidehouse captured takeaways and findings that align the WMP with state laws and effective wildfire measure demonstration for a utility of SMUD’s size and risk profile. SMUD’s WMP appropriately responds to each of the required elements of PUC Section 8387, which is detailed in Appendix A. The following describes the assessment and resulting findings of the WMP’s proposed and established mitigation measures as it applies to safe, reliable operation of all electric infrastructure and wildfire prevention and response.

Report Conclusions

After internal review of the latest version of the WMP and associated data collection products, Guidehouse concludes this Report with the following:

- SMUD’s WMP aligns appropriately with PUC Section 8387 and includes all required elements.\textsuperscript{16}

- SMUD’s WMP is comprehensive as described through this Report in accordance with PUC Section 8387.

\textsuperscript{16} Following acceptance of this Report, SMUD will post the Report and results online for public view. The Report is scheduled for presentation to the City Council at a public meeting in June 2023. Accomplishing these follow-up tasks will meet all required statutory provisions up until presenting the final WMP to the SMUD Board.
### Appendix A. Statutory Compliance Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Statutory Element</th>
<th>Plan Section Reference(s)</th>
<th>SMUD Plan Elements (Summarized)</th>
<th>Meets Section Elements (Determination)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Each local publicly owned electric utility and electrical cooperative shall construct, maintain, and operate its electrical lines and equipment in a manner that will minimize the risk of wildfire posed by those electrical lines and equipment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (1) The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall, before January 1, 2020, prepare a wildfire mitigation plan. After January 1, 2020, a local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall prepare a wildfire mitigation plan annually and shall submit the plan to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board on or before July 1 of that calendar year. Each local publicly owned electric utility and electrical cooperative shall update its plan annually and submit the update to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board by July 1 of each year. At least once every three years, the submission shall be a comprehensive revision of the plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The wildfire mitigation plan shall consider as necessary, at minimum, all of the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) An accounting of the responsibilities of persons responsible for executing the plan.</td>
<td>Section 2.5</td>
<td>SMUD has Accountability of the plan section in its plan with descriptions of the roles of SMUD’s Chief Operating Officer, Chief Customer Officer, and others responsible for executing the various components of the WMP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) The objectives of the wildfire mitigation plan.</td>
<td>Section 2.4</td>
<td>SMUD has clearly stated objectives in its plan. (1) Minimize the probability that SMUD’s transmission and Distribution system may be the origin or contributing source for the ignition of the wildfire. (2) Implement a wildfire mitigation plan that embraces safety, prevention, mitigation, and recovery as a central priority for SMUD. (3) Create a WMP that is consistent with state law and objectives.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) A description of the preventive strategies and programs to be adopted by the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative to minimize the risk of its electrical lines and equipment causing catastrophic wildfires, including consideration of dynamic climate change risks.</td>
<td>Sections 3, 6, 7 and 8</td>
<td>Section 3 provides an overview and Sections 6, 7, and 8 provide a detailed description of SMUD’s preventative strategies with specific subsections on design and construction, inspection and maintenance (including vegetation management) operational practices, and situational/conditional awareness.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) A description of the metrics the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative plans to use to evaluate the wildfire mitigation plan’s performance and the assumptions that underlie the use of those metrics.</td>
<td>Section 9</td>
<td>SMUD describes several metrics to assess the performance of the WMP and its effectiveness in reducing catastrophic wildfire in Section 9. These metrics tied to more granular and specific maintenance activities that SMUD has determined are more closely tied to WMP performance.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) A discussion of how the application of previously identified metrics to previous wildfire mitigation plan performances has informed the wildfire mitigation plan.</td>
<td>Section 9</td>
<td>SMUD tracks KPIs which measure inspection program performance, grid condition findings, drivers of ignition, project completion and community outreach programs. These are used to inform the plan and determine realistic percentage reduction targets against the determined benchmarks.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) Protocols for disabling reclosers and deenergizing portions of the electrical distribution system that consider the associated impacts on public safety, as well as protocols related to mitigating the public safety impacts of those protocols, including impacts on critical first responders and on health and communication infrastructure.</td>
<td>Sections 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.2.1, and 6.2.2</td>
<td>Section 6.1.1 and 6.2.1 discuss disabling reclosers. According to the WMP, SMUD changes their operation during fire season which is defined as May 1 to October 1 or any time RFW is in effect for areas inside of or surrounding the PCA. SMUD also disables reclosing for all transmission lines in the valley and UARP. Section 6.1.2 and 6.2.2 of the WMP discusses de-energizing protocols for SMUD. Distribution System Operations (DSO) personnel have the authority to de-energize select distribution circuits and utilize weather data and SMUD’s EMS to make the determination to de-energize. Similarly, the Power System Operators (PSOs) have authority to de-energize transmission lines due to fire danger conditions which exceed design criteria.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(G)</strong> Appropriate and feasible procedures for notifying a customer who may be impacted by the deenergizing of electrical lines. The procedures shall consider the need to notify, as a priority, critical first responders, health care facilities, and operators of telecommunications infrastructure.</td>
<td>Section 7.2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(H)</strong> Plans for vegetation management.</td>
<td>Section 6.4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(I)</strong> Plans for inspections of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s electrical infrastructure.</td>
<td>Section 6.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(J)</strong> A list that identifies, describes, and prioritizes all wildfire risks, and drivers for those risks, throughout the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory. The list shall include, but not be limited to, both of the following:</td>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Risks and risk drivers associated with design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s equipment and facilities.</td>
<td>Section 4.2.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Particular risks and risk drivers associated with topographic and climatological risk factors throughout the different parts of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory.</td>
<td>Section 4 &amp; 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMUD details the vegetation management program in Section 6.4. It describes procedures for both transmission and distribution circuits as well as circuits located in HFTD Tiers 2 and 3.

SMUD describes its inspection process in the WMP for two areas – the UARP area and Valley area. SMUD uses a combination of ground and aerial inspections to patrol lines.

SMUD’s WMP includes a thorough assessment of risk and risk drivers as well as the process which risk is assessed for those drivers.

SMUD identifies four categories of risk drivers and details their potential impacts within the WMP.

SMUD discusses particular risks associated with topographical and climatological risk factors throughout Section 4.2 and describes topographical risks associated with the UARP and Sierra Nevada mountains in Section 5.
| Identification of any geographic area in the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s service territory that is a higher wildfire threat than is identified in a commission fire threat map, and identification of where the commission should expand a high fire-threat district based on new information or changes to the environment. | Section 5, 5.1, and 5.2 | Sections 5, 5.1, and 5.2 of the WMP contains SMUD’s asset threat overview. These sections contain a description of asset categories and an inventory of SMUD’s transmission and distribution assets in CPUC HFTD tiers and assets outside of tiered areas. | Yes |

| A methodology for identifying and presenting enterprise wide safety risk and wildfire-related risk. | Section 4.2 | SMUD includes a five-part process to evaluate its risk. (1) Identify, (2) Analyze, (3) Plan & Evaluate, (4) Respond and (5) Monitor & Review. The WMP also includes a bowtie risk assessment for key risk drivers and key risk impacts for wildfire related risk. | Yes |

| A statement of how the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative will restore service after a wildfire. | Section 8.1 and 8.2 | SMUD WMP provides a statement of how it plans to restore service after a wildfire, including a discussion of efforts to inspect the condition of the system prior to energization and restoration after a wildfire event that causes major damage to the system. | Yes |

| A description of the processes and procedures the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall use to do all of the following: | | | |

| Monitor and audit the implementation of the wildfire mitigation plan. | Section 9.2 | SMUD will audit the plan annually in alignment with SMUD’s existing business planning process. | Yes |

| Identify any deficiencies in the wildfire mitigation plan or its implementation, and correct those deficiencies. | Section 9.2 | SMUD has stated that deficiencies identified should be corrected as they are found by the COO. | Yes |

| Monitor and audit the effectiveness of electrical line and equipment inspections, including inspections performed by contractors, that are carried out under the plan, other applicable statutes, or commission rules. | Section 9.2.3 | SMUD monitors and audits effectiveness of electrical line and equipment inspections. This process is described for distribution line inspections and vegetation management audits in Section 9.2.3. | Yes |
(3) The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall, on or before January 1, 2020, and not less than annually thereafter, present its wildfire mitigation plan in an appropriately noticed public meeting. The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall accept comments on its wildfire mitigation plan from the public, other local and state agencies, and interested parties, and shall verify that the wildfire mitigation plan complies with all applicable rules, regulations, and standards, as appropriate.

| Section 2.6 | SMUD will present its WMP to the City Council at a public annually, following public posting and opportunities for public comment. | Yes |

(c) The local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative shall contract with a qualified independent evaluator with experience in assessing the safe operation of electrical infrastructure to review and assess the comprehensiveness of its wildfire mitigation plan. The independent evaluator shall issue a report that shall be made available on the internet website of the local publicly owned electric utility or electrical cooperative, and shall present the report at a public meeting of the local publicly owned electric utility’s or electrical cooperative’s governing board.

| Section 2.6.3 | SMUD contracted with Guidehouse Consulting, Inc. to perform an independent evaluation of its WMP. Qualifications are described in Section 1. | Yes |
TO
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NARRATIVE:

Requested Action: A summary of directives provided to staff during the committee meeting.

Summary: The Board requested an on-going opportunity to do a wrap up period at the end of each committee meeting to summarize various Board member suggestions and requests that were made at the meeting in an effort to make clear the will of the Board. The Committee Chair will summarize Board member requests that come out of the committee presentations for this meeting.

Board Policy: GP-4 Agenda Planning states the Board will focus on the results the Board wants the organization to achieve.

Benefits: Having an agendized opportunity to summarize the Board’s requests and suggestions that arise during the committee meeting will help clarify what the will of the Board.

Cost/Budgeted: Included in budget

Alternatives: Not summarize the Board’s requests at this meeting.

Affected Parties: Board of Directors and Executive Staff

Coordination: Special Assistant to the Board

Presenter: Brandon Rose, ERCS Chair

Additional Links:
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